

Minutes of the Town of Riverhead Board meeting held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at Town Hall, Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York on Tuesday, February 7, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

**PRESENT**

Sean Walter	Supervisor
John Dunleavy	Councilman
James Wooten	Councilman
Jodi Giglio	Councilwoman
Timothy Hubbard	Councilman

**ALSO PRESENT:**

Diane Wilhelm	Town Clerk
Robert Kozakiewicz	Town Attorney

The meeting was officially opened at 2:00 p.m. by Supervisor Walter with the Pledge of Allegiance followed by an Invocation by Reverend Mary Cooper from the House of Praise in Riverhead.

Supervisor Walter introduced Harriet Hass who entertained the Board by singing a few Valentine songs.

Councilman Wooten introduced Monty, a male Great Dane who is presently living at the Animal Shelter. He is house broken and is a very loving dog. Monty is having a hard time adjusting to his home at the Shelter and is hoping to be adopted.

Supervisor Walter announced that the North Fork Animal Welfare League is sponsoring a fundraising dinner Thursday, February 9<sup>th</sup>, 6:00 p.m., \$75.00 per person at Atlantis. He urged everyone to attend in support of the Animal Welfare League.

Councilman Dunleavy made a motion that the minutes from the January 18, 2017 Town Board meeting be accepted. Motion was seconded by Councilman Wooten and carried.

**CORRESPONDENCE**

- Kelly Keller, Riverhead Development Corp. – letter indicating the necessity to continue to serve the public in the Town owned building at 209 East Avenue, Riverhead.

**REPORTS**

- Tax Receiver – total tax collection to date as of January 18, 2017 - \$67,454,139.74
- Tax Receiver – total tax collection to date as of January 24, 2017 - \$77,322,186.55

- Tax Receiver – total tax collection to date as of January 31, 2017 - \$78,050,010.01
- Sewer District December 2016 Discharge Monitoring Report
- Sewer District 2016 Influent Gallonage Report
- Central Pine Barrens Joint Planning and Policy Commission 2015 Report
- Building Department January Monthly Report - \$112,654.24

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

Supervisor Walter: “We have two public hearings scheduled and it is now 2:15. The first public hearing is the Consideration of an Application to Amend a Deed of Conservation Easement for the Major Subdivision of Demchuk Estates. Mr. Cuddy would you like to present this?”

Charles Cuddy: “Yes, Charles Cuddy 445 Griffing Avenue, Riverhead, NY for the applicant. This is about a conservation easement that was placed on site which is a site that is between Reilly Avenue and Toomey Avenue, Calverton. This is a fifteen point two-point acre site. A conservation easement was place there in 2007. The language in the conservation easement led to a question as to how to resolve the ambiguity and I gave you copies, if you don’t mind me regressing a second, I gave you copies of the conservation easement. I gave you a copy of the Planning Board and also the proposed amendments to the existing conservation easement. The conservation easement on page four talks about no building and structures except for cultural structures and page six talks about agricultural activity but on page seven there’s an unusual provision that limits the use apparently to forty thousand square feet. Of a moveable forty thousand square feet, we can have a house and a barn and a question became, what can you do with this site? The question was what can we do with this site. We went to the Farmland Committee recently, 2014-2015 and finally the Committee determined you could have agricultural structures at this site and you would be allowed to have six greenhouses and a full barn. Then went to the Planning Board because the Farmland Committee wanted to make sure the understanding of the conservation easement was correct. In 2016 the Farmland Committee excuse me, the Planning Board adopted a resolution confirming the interpretation and made it farm remitting. We then went back to the Farmland Committee again in August 2016 and they reapproved what we had done previously that is to have instead of the house and accessory structures, the house solely, six greenhouses and a full barn. That’s what’s in the proposed amendment before the Board. The ambiguity was revolved I think at this stage of the Planning Board and should not be a problem and the question became, who signs the amendment. The amendment signed by the applicant and also we’re asking the Supervisor to sign this amendment. Where we take the position it’s really not so much an amendment as it is a clarification because there’s obviously ambiguity in the conservation easement and it’s being resolved only by having part of the agricultural structures and house. We don’t have the house. I think if you notice at the very end of the amendment we can’t have anything but what’s going to be there. We can’t have anything more. We can’t have other structures and there can’t be any marketing or retail at this site so it’s very limited. It’s an agricultural site now, it’s going to remain an agricultural site and that’s basically what this is about.”

Supervisor Walter: “All right, thank you. Does anyone else wish to be heard, does anybody in the audience wish to be heard on this matter? Yes, if you would step up to the podium and give your name and hamlet or affiliation for the record that would be wonderful.”

Fay Zawada: “Hi, my name is Fay Zawada and I live at 108 (inaudible) Drive in Calverton. I’m directly behind the parcel. I just have a question about the map. Like do they know, do they have a diagram about where the barn is going to be and.”

Supervisor Walter: “Yes, Mr. Cuddy. I’m going to let people ask the questions and then he’s going to come back up and show you the map. He has the map.”

Fay Zawada: “All right, thank you.”

Supervisor Walter: “Is there anybody else who has questions on this? Mr. Cuddy show her the map and see if she’s satisfied. Anybody wish to be heard on this topic? Ok, we’re going to have to wrap this up.”

Fay Zawada: “No, it’s ok.”

Supervisor Walter: “If nobody else wishes to be heard on this matter I going to close the public comment portion and leave it open for written comment until February 17<sup>th</sup>, 4:30 in the Town Clerk’s office. Thank you for coming out folks.”

**Public Hearing Closed at 2:20 p.m.  
Left Open for Written Comment Until February 17, 2017, 4:30 p.m.**

---

Supervisor Walter “Ok, 2:20 having arrived and it is now 2:20, we have a second public hearing. This is the Consideration of a Local Law to Amend Chapter 301, Entitled “Zoning and Land Development” of the Riverhead Town Code. Article LII. Rural Corridor (RLC) Zoning Use District (301-64.) Uses. You’re going to present this application at the public hearing?”

Richard Wines: “I’m Richard Wines from Jamesport and I’m here for the Landmarks Preservation Commission and this very modest proposal (inaudible). Our goal is to at least a little bit incentive to preserve some of the abandon and wonderful historic houses like you see these two right next to 105 on Main Road or this one right opposite the end of Tuthill’s Lane in Jamesport and this is part of the Landmark Preservation Commissions’ efforts to provide incentives wherever we can. For instances the 44A Tax Abatement program the Town Board approved last year. Basically, what this change does is it will allow a historic structure to be used for special permit for professional offices, wellness facilities or general offices and allow them to be enlarged by up to one hundred percent or no more than three thousand square feet. As long as that building was already designated as a town landmark and as long as any restoration work was approved by the Landmarks Preservation Commission under provision of the town code.

Already most of these uses are allowed in the same area of the rural corridor except that they’re restricted to the footprint of the building which means basically it’s an incentive to demolish a historic structure rather than preserve a historic structure. Unfortunately, we think there’s only about twenty-two historic structures in the rural corridor that could benefit but we think it’s worth doing just to help protect some of those because they’re highly visible, they’re right at the gateway to the north fork. The reason the number is so small is that any part of the

rural corridor that is on less than a thousand feet from either hamlet or village center already has zoning that allow far more building than this would allow and so in the end the only areas that would (inaudible) are between approximately Union Avenue and 105 and also approximately where (inaudible) is and just west of Tuttle Lane so just those two small sections of Main Road but there's approximately twenty-two historic structures. This could provide some incentives to help provide a bit of our heritage so with that I'd be happy to answer any questions if there are any."

Councilman Wooten: "Are there any structures that are not recognized as being part of the designated landmarks?"

Richard Wines: "Well, none of them, actually no structures I believe are formally designated as landmarks now. What would have to happen is somebody, let's say somebody were to purchase this house right on the corner of 105 and want to convert to professional offices and he needs to add a thousand square feet so he can put in elevators and that kind of thing then they would apply to have it designated as a town landmark. That then brings them under the landmark code and then approvals to preserve would be necessary and then they could come for a special permit to the town board to do what they wanted to do."

Councilman Wooten: "You say there are twenty-two?"

Richard Wines: "There are twenty-two structures that we think could qualify, being generous."

Councilwoman Giglio: "A (inaudible) and lot area would be a consideration also (inaudible) provide adequate parking (inaudible)."

Supervisor Walter: "Are you finished? We'll take public comment now. All right, anyone from the public wish to be heard?"

Laura Jens Smith: "Laura Jens Smith from Laurel. First, I would like applaud the Landmarks Preservation Commission for their efforts to try and preserve the properties that are on the (inaudible). I think that is a great idea and support the idea. One question that I do have is with the rural corridor zoning is that parking is allowed on the side of the building as well as the rear and not in the front and with the expanded permitted use for this zoning change would allow I think up to three thousand feet or less of the building which could then create, you know, possibly twenty or more parking slots or even more than that for the building. So, I would just ask that you consider that parking requirements for these special permits that parking would have to be in the rear of the building and not allow side parking so you don't have a big parking lot there to maintain the character of the rural corridor, thank you. I think it's three thousand feet and I think professional offices are one fifty per three thousand so it would be twenty plus whatever the original structure is."

Councilwoman Giglio: "We would consider that. I think the (inaudible) of the Preservation Commission was to preserve these houses. If their only mechanism to preserve these houses is to allow parking in the side yard I think the Landmark Preservation would support it."

Laura Jens Smith: “Yeah, even if you had to do side yard if the side yard started back in the rear corner of the house so it wasn’t right up to the road so you’d have the going in and then it could be on the rear, but the original setback original property of the house, maybe you could consider that, thank you.”

Warren McKnight: “Warren McKnight of Riverhead. I have a question for Roy and the Town Board. The twenty-two buildings, how many are being maintained sufficiently?”

Supervisor Walter: “Richard, you want? What I’ll do, is there anyone else who wants to speak tonight on this subject? Ok, why don’t we let Richard so won’t have to go back and forth. Warren McKnight was there anything else you wanted?”

Warren McKnight: “No, that’s what I’m concerned about.”

Supervisor Walter: “Who else what’s to be heard on this matter? Step up to the podium.”

Peter Lucas: “Peter Lucas, I live on Main Road in Aquebogue and I would support almost anything that’s going to help protect the integrity, our historic integrity, on Main Road and (inaudible) seems like a minor thing on Main Road, I support it.”

Supervisor Walter: “We have one other person.”

Joan Zaniskey: “Joan Zaniskey, I live in Aquebogue and for this purpose, this meeting, I speak on behalf of myself and my colleagues on the Friends of the Rural Corridor. My concern here Richard is with your statement about 44A tax abatement. Now, how is that going to, how does that pertain to these projects if somebody wanted to convert their landmarked preserve home into a business function? Would they be receiving a tax abatement?”

Supervisor Walter: “I’m going to let him answer. Does anybody else wish to be heard on this matter before I bring Mr. Wines back up? All right, Ms. Zaniskey is there anything else you want to say?”

Joan Zaniskey: “Only that if people are going to receive tax abatements private for converting their properties to business function I don’t think that’s the right way to go.”

Supervisor Walter: “Well we’re going to have Mr. Wines address that. Mr. Wines, I think you’re up.”

Richard Wines: “First of all in response to Mr. McKnight’s question, I think there are at least three buildings that are totally abandoned and are serious concerns, the Landmark Preservation Commission, all three of them are on our watch list. We try and keep an eye out, try and contact the owners and do what we can. We have a watch list for the ones we’re seriously worried about and there are several others that look like they’re marginally, they’re still occupied but the owners are doing all that’s possible.”

Warren McKnight: “Thank you.”

Supervisor Walter: “And the tax question, do you have an answer for that?”

Richard Wines: “Yes. It’s the 444A Tax Abatement and what that allows, it allows anybody, let’s say you wanted to take a historical home and fix it up and if that made it more valuable and assuming that the assessors decided to change the appraisal, which isn’t an automatic assumption, then taxes on the difference between what it was before and what it was after would be abated in decreasing amounts over a ten-year period. So, it’s designed to encourage historic restoration. In this case it would only apply, the value of an addition would not count in that so it would only be improvements to the historic building itself. Hopefully answers your question.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “And Richard, if I’m not mistaken, it’s keeping the same use, so it would be a single family dwelling in the historic structure and then that work would be on the addition in the back if there was to be an office in the back but it doesn’t allow them to change it from a resident to a medical office and get the difference in the tax as a break. It’s only what they spent. So if they spend a million dollars to fix up a historic structure and right now their house is being assessed at half a million dollars they’re not going to pay the increase in the value of a half a million dollars for the house.”

(Inaudible)

Joan Zaniskey: “As long as it remains a residence right?”

Supervisor Walter: “All rights, does anybody else wish to be heard on this matter? Do you want to make a statement John? I’m going to close the public comment portion of the hearing and I’m going to leave it open for written comment until February 17<sup>th</sup>, 4:30 in the Town Clerk’s office. Richard, thank you very much I appreciate the work you do on the Landmark Preservation Commission. We may not always agree but I do appreciate (inaudible).”

**Public Hearing Closed at 2:20 p.m.  
Left Open for Written Comment Until February 17, 2017, 2:33 p.m.**

---

Councilman Dunleavy: “No, I just want to thank Councilman Wooten. This past Saturday Councilman Wooten and our new Intergenerational Department held a “Who’s Got Talent in Riverhead” for the children in high school, middle school and if anyone missed it this year, they’re going to have this every year, you have to go see these kids. They are great. My wife and I went and she enjoyed it. They sing, they dance and they do juggling acts. One of our kids is going to be in the circus all summer doing juggling. So next year please look for the advertisement for Riverhead’s Got Talent and come out and see these kids because you really will enjoy it. Thank you, Jim.”

**COMMENTS ON RESOLUTIONS**

Supervisor Walter: “We’re going to open public comments on resolutions only.

Sal Mastropaolo: “Resolution 94; what was the rate before the increase?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “One ten.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “I take it we don’t have to go out for bid for that because it’s professional services?”

Supervisor Walter: “He’s being phased out anyway. He’s our cobalt programmer and it’s a little tough to find a cobalt programmer and we are phasing cobalt out. In fact we just took a major part of it out with our payroll system so once we get the financial accounting software we won’t be needing him, maybe just an occasional, if we can’t find something otherwise we won’t use him.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “And he hasn’t had an increase since 2007. He’s been charging us the same flat rate every year.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Resolution 96. My question is does the Highway Department have the dollars for this building in their budge or is it coming out of the general fund?”

Supervisor Walter: “No, it’s coming out of the highway reserve fund. The Highway Superintendent has been very good at managing his budget and he puts money in reserve every year and we spend it to buy equipment and this year to buy a building.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, the other question I have is, what’s the life expectancy of a metal building? I mean why are we putting almost three quarters of a million dollars into a metal building?”

Supervisor Walter: “The one around the corner from you, how long has that been up from the Fire Department?”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Yeah but you know they’re trying to replace it.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “They’re trying to replace it because the apparatus got bigger over the years and they don’t fit anymore.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, so the answer is more than ten years?”

Supervisor Walter: “Oh yeah. Geo, you have any idea the number years the life expectancy is?”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Thirty to forty years. All the farmers are putting metal buildings up instead of barns now.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, the other thing, I looked at a couple of the bids just to see if they were close and it’s really weird, I mean it’s almost like whoever put the bids in read two different things when they looked at the specs. I mean there are significant differences in the elect which concern me and in the price of the building for delivery.”

Supervisor Walter: “What we did, our guys set, because our guys saw that, the Engineering Department sat, I think Geo was at the meeting too, sat down with the vender we’re selecting to go over it and let that vender know there will be no changes.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, that was my concern when I saw the different numbers in Resolution 98, my question is why do we have a procurement contract when we have a purchasing department?” Doesn’t the purchasing department do all the buying?”

Supervisor Walter: “The procurement contract, if this is the one we do a deliverable contact for, is this the one for the asphalt, aggregate, all the annual construction contracts? So, we go out to bid on one large contract. In theory, that gives us a better price and you know, all the things that we’re, you know awarded to all the same vendors but I think (inaudible) had it last year, (inaudible) had part of it last year so large construction projects or deliverables. So we don’t have to go every time we want to pave the road, have to bid the road.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Now here’s another question then. Isn’t there any kind of consortium between the towns to buy under state contract?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Which ever price we get that’s better it either comes off the state contract or general contract.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Resolution 102; there’s no mention of a fee being paid for this parade. Usually on a 255 isn’t there always a fee?”

Someone: “It’s actually not a (inaudible), it’s only a (inaudible)”

Councilman Wooten: “It’s not a Chapter 90.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, thank you, that’s it.”

Supervisor Walter: “Anybody else wish to be heard on resolutions?”

Harriet Haas: “Hello everybody, Harriet Hass, Riverhead. Two resolutions in a row here; Resolution 107 and 108 ratifies the appointment of a call-in recreation leader to the recreation department and a call-in recreation specialist. I was thinking that might be a nice idea for the Senior Center too to have a specialist for that.”

Supervisor Walter: “We, they are; the Senior and the Recreation Department are merged now.”

Harriet Hass: “I know they are. That’s why I was thinking maybe, oh, the same one who does that is going to do both?”

Supervisor Walter: “Melissa Muller actually works in the senior department in the food services section and so she’s going to be a recreation leader so they are kind of cross (inaudible).”

Harriet Hass: “Oh, that would be a very good idea I think, one for both of them. Ok, thank you.”

Supervisor Walter: “Anyone else wish to be heard on resolutions?”

Edgar Goodale: “Edgar Goodale, 250 David Court, Calverton, Resolution 104. I feel I need to recommunicate our position on non-industrial uses here at the EPCAL Park. Riverhead Building Supply is not in favor of any non-industrial uses at EPCAL. I have always consistently stated to many of you and many of the other Boards in the town that our biggest concerns have always been the potential conflict between noise and non-industrial traffic within the park. With that stated, we have reviewed the particular plan that we’re discussing here today and are satisfied that our concerns have been addressed to our complete satisfaction. Currently Riverhead Building Supply has a hundred and eighty employees employed at the EPCAL facility along. Our infrastructure cost exceeds thirty million dollars to date with future expansions planned. We like EPCAL. We only wish others would too. We have the privilege, all of us at EPCAL, have the privilege to pay a huge premium on our sewer rents over other areas in the town. We have lost our PSEG benefits because we did the responsible thing by putting up solar panels, four hundred kilowatts solar system on our buildings. We need some good news, we need some good news a EPCAL. Not news that some (inaudible) investor or some company is going to do this or that and it never materializes. Baseball games can be won by hitting a homerun, more often the results are a strike out. Baseball championships are won by hitting singles and by executing the detail day in and day out. This project is a sound single, perhaps even a double. This project is ready today, is ready to go, we need it at EPCAL. It has nothing but positive to add to the park and the town in general, approve it.”

Supervisor Walter: “It’s not approving, what this does, the resolution allows you to do either an environmental impact statement (inaudible) is done. They still have a long way to go to get fund approval.”

Edgar Goodale: “Whatever we can do to move the thing along quickly.”

Supervisor Walter: “Yes, anybody else?”

Chik Voorhees: “Good afternoon members of the Board, my name is Chik Voorhees of Nelson, Pope and Voorhees and I’m here to address Resolution 104 as well. I spoke to the Board before on this matter. It was at prior hearings and work sessions and participated in the preparation of the October 14, 2016 response to all the comments we were aware of up until that time and as you know prior to that Cameron Engineering and my firm participating in submitting a detailed expanded environmental assessment. So, you’re considering today a potential for a positive

declaration on this action. I am here basically to go through the items that are listed as reasons for the positive declaration, indicate where each of these items have been addressed.”

Supervisor Walter: “It’s not a public hearing again, it’s a five minute comment period.”

Chik Voorhees: “I should be able to finish.”

Supervisor Walter: “You have five minutes Sir.”

Chik Voorhees: “I’d like to speak on the resolution. First is freshwater wetlands. The proposed activity on the site is five hundred and thirty-five feet from the nearest freshwater wetlands. The jurisdiction area in Article 24 is one hundred feet. There is no impact on the freshwater wetlands. Waste water, I’ll let others address but I know this has been discussed in detail in our response and Cameron Engineering has done everything the town has asked for including the paying of fees and examining waste water systems with the town’s consultants.

With regard to habitat there are a number of species that are present on the overall EPCAL property. We met earlier with the DEC, we documented locations of species and we mitigated them in true design. The primary one was the tiger salamander and that’s why we have a five hundred and thirty-five foot natural area (inaudible) the tiger salamander breeding pond. There is no impact on habitat on this site.

The Peconic River and the Pine Barrens; we’re not doing any activity in the wild scenic recreation area whatsoever. We looked at it from a water shed standpoint. Storm water will be controlled, waste water will be treated. More than thirty-five percent of the overall site will be preserved in natural vegetation even though this is not regulated under the pine barrens comprehensive land use plan, twenty-nine acres will be permanently preserved through the conservation easement and one point eight nine acres of grassland will be restored that does not currently exist. There is no impact on the watershed of the Peconic River or the Pine Barrens.

Transportation was addressed in detail. This goes into a little more detail in terms of runway access and such things as that. This site was studied for development by the Town of Riverhead through the overall land use plan and generic EIS and a much more intense development was envisioned for this property. Based on all these safeguards that we have built into it for the watershed, habitat protection, and this specific project, much less development will occur than what was envisioned. I don’t see how this use is any different than a much more intense use with respect to transportation. We did submit a traffic study dated March 2016. It was noted that other types of industrial use would have much more truck traffic and this will not have a significant adverse impact on traffic. It conforms and complies with all the applicable FAA guidelines. There is no potential impact on transportation.

Noise has been discussed extensively by this Board. There were early arguments that we don’t need to study an offsite noise source and (inaudible) use, we got over that and did study it. The October submission has a very detailed diagram which shows you a high generation source of noise from an aircraft the runway with the separations with the property line of five hundred feet from the central line of the runway, an additional two hundred feet to the building itself and an additional two hundred sixteen or eighteen feet to the lane that would have any research facilities. There is no impact on noise, this will be mitigated through barriers, architectural design and the inverse square wall. Land use as conforms to the PIP Zoning is applicable and the, very quickly, the most important point, community character. The resolution mentions social economic and so

forth. From a social standpoint, I've heard you all say that this is a great use. Some people do not like the location. I believe it's the correct location. This is a (inaudible) rated project with a sixty-million-dollar investment and from an economic standpoint a hundred and seventy-one direct jobs will result and based on riddle effect on the economy the total number of jobs expected is three hundred and thirty-two. So, those are my remarks. There are no growth induced impacts because the site is already designed for it. It was studied for more and for no other alternative locations this is ready to go. Thank you."

James Ergano: "My name is James Ergano and I'm an attorney concentrating my practice in environmental law. I'm here to speak on behalf of the project, in favor of the project. Just a few points for the Board. First with regard to endangered species, the developer has applied to the DEC for an endangered species permit. We fully expect that permit to be granted for one principle reason and that is this forty or so acre project will not be in any endangered species habitat and in fact the balance of the endangered species habitat on the ninety-five acres has already been preserved with a conservation easement on the balance of the property and will remain forever undeveloped and simply cannot be developed. That was done voluntarily by the owner of the property and that will be preserved forever.

With regard to freshwater wetlands, there is no DEC permit required because as Mr. Voorhees explained we are quite far away from any freshwater wetlands permit. With regard to a water easement, I personally have been working very closely with the Navy to obtain an easement over Navy property to allow access for a waterline and I am virtually certain that easement will be granted and given the response we've received from the Navy and I do not envision any problem whatsoever with that waterline easement.

With regard to pine barren. This is in a compatible growth area that we are well below the clearing limits which are the principal perhaps the only Pine Barrens restriction with regard to this property and just again we're well below any clearing limits under pine barrens and in conclusion just let me offer my legal view. No environmental impact statement is required for this project. All environmental concerns have been addressed. There is nothing further to evaluate and there are no environmental issues associated with this project. Therefore, a positive declaration should not be issued and no environmental impact statement is necessary; thank you very much."

John Gersky: "Good afternoon, I have a statement to read."

Supervisor Walter: "Five minutes Sir. Give your name and affiliation for the record."

John Gersky: "My name is John Gersky and I'm an associate with Cameron Engineering Associates and I'm the Senior Civil Engineer for the Peconic Care project. My background, I have been involved with working on the Calverton Camelot property for over eleven years. The proposed Peconic Care project which has been formulated, revised, enhanced with significant input in coordination with town planning and environmental and engineering staff over the past two years. The following represents a summary of key project data.

Peconic Care Research campus is a thirty-nine point eight-acre lease area within Lot Block 17 of the Calverton Camelot Two subdivision. That lot consists of ninety-five point six areas. The proposed project developed in that area is nineteen point seven acres. That represents twenty-point six percent of the overall ninety-five point six acres. Sixty-one point nine acres will be coveted and in other ways preserved. Less than fourteen percent of previously approved development

parameters on the map of Calverton Camelot Two are proposed to be filled. This project proposes a one hundred and forty-four thousand six hundred and twenty-six gross square foot structure or structures and the previously approved Calverton Camelot development for Lot 17 was one million forty-four thousand three hundred and fifty-eight square feet. The project will deliver a world class research campus designed consistent with sustainable principles and low impact development guideline that meet or exceed the town's planned industrial park design standards performance criteria and Suffolk County Planning Commission's recommendations.

Parallel with the engineering and architectural design formulation our team of engineers, environment consultants, traffic engineers and health care professionals studied, analyzed and mitigated when necessary the comprehensive environmental elements of the project as required under SEQRA. This ninety-five point six acre property has been studied and studied again dating back to the generic EIS that was prepared for the two thousand nine hundred and twenty-three acre Navel Weapons Industrial Reserve Plan duly again comprehensively studied, complied with SEQRA in 2001 thru 2007 when the minor subdivision and major subdivision (inaudible) agencies. It is noteworthy that the subdivision approval included (inaudible) lot restrictions that would allow for over one million square feet of development to occur on this (inaudible) property.

With this all remaining in place the applicant is taking nothing for granted and has taken a hard look at relevant environmental conditions associated with Peconic Care Research campus. This comprehensive study is part of several documents prepared and filed with the town to support a negative declaration. From community services to land use and zoning, to traffic, infrastructure, equal-logical impact assessment, the application has illustrated that no significant impact will be introduced and that a host of important benefits will be the outcome of this application which economic impacts in terms of private investment, tax revenues and employment; social benefits associated with addiction research, treatment and recovery; environmental benefits associated over sixty-one percent of the property remaining undeveloped.

The applicant has consulted with town staff in feting any potential adverse impact associated with the project. This would include fencing, screening and the driveway, access to the site along the taxing ramp. The sewage treatment expansion which is not necessary in our estimation for the Peconic Care Project but is required for any future subdivision development. The applicant has demonstrated the hard look required under SEQRA. The corporation of mitigation we're required sited the numerous benefits that will be derived from this project. We respectfully submit that a negative declaration of SEQRA is appropriate."

Dr. John Morgenstern: "Good afternoon. My name is Dr. John Morgenstern and I am the Director of Addiction Services for the Northwell Health System and a Professor of Psychiatry at the Hofstra Northwell School. So, I'm going to be really brief. I want to take the opportunity to express Northwell Health's really strong support for this project and to take a minute to explain why this is important for our health center.

We believe that this project when completed will be a major improvement in the public health for the communities if we serve and that's over two million people. We have sixteen thousand employees, twenty-one hospitals and we also believe that the result will be a one of its kind unique research center to improve the quality of addiction treatment and if I can just say how we see this. We all know that alcohol and drug addiction is a major health problem. We all have friends, relatives, loved ones who have been affected by it. What I think is less clear is that the problem is enormous. One in every twelve Americans has an alcohol and drug use disorder. The

cost to our society on an annual basis is over five hundred billion dollars. That's more in (inaudible) and cost than heart disease, diabetes, or cancer, any one of those.

From the Northwell perspective we have great programs to help people if you have a heart disease or cancer. We have great treatment programs in almost every one of our communities. We have great research programs. When it comes to alcohol and drug addiction we have very little and as an organization we have made a strong commitment that we have to change that calculus. We need to do things that will address addiction the same way we are addressing other current medical problems and I can tell you from my own personal experience because I deal with this on a daily basis, I get calls from family's who are looking for help or a sister who was a teacher who became addicted to pain medication or one of our computer employees who has a daughter who got addicted to prescription pain medication and there are very few resources available.

So, we're committed to doing more research and we're committed to trying to provide great quality care and the Peconic facility is an ideal location to do both of those things and what we're proposing to partner with Peconic is create a world class center of excellence. It's really the only one of its kind in the addiction area. We will be combining world class research and treatment together and right now such a facility does not exist anywhere in the United States and honestly with the exception of Germany almost anywhere else in the world so if you think about, anyway the way I think about this is think about Sloan Kettering where if you have a cancer diagnosis you're going to want to go to that state of the art facility. Why is that facility so good? Because the scientists and the (inaudible) are working together and you're going to get the most cutting edge care that's possible and available and that's what we're proposing to do here.

Through our eighty-bed campus which will provide treatment that I think will be at least as good and probably better than anywhere else in the country and it will be the only treatment program, residential program on Long Island and I can tell you because I came from Columbia, there is not sufficient (inaudible) treatment on Long Island. I would also say that we're excited about doing this because we are Peconic Bay Medical Center's largest employer in Riverhead and we think that the benefit to the communities and the economic development that will come to us through the science that will be created and the visibility that this program will create will be a tremendous benefit. Thank you."

Supervisor Walter: "I am impressed with (inaudible) I'm in fear I'm going to come up short on the vote that I was looking to have but I'm impressed with Mr. Messina, Vinnie Messina everybody."

Vinny Messina: "Thank you very much. Thanks for hearing us today and your patience with us. So, first I would just like to point out the resolution before you today in its first paragraph speaks of a research facility with a hundred and thirty beds. I just want to correct that for the record. When we were before you last and we confirmed that there is an eighty-bed oasis license for the facility so we're not looking for a hundred and thirty although that is what the ZBA approved so I can understand where that error came from.

Mr. Supervisor the last time I was before you, you said to me there were going to be some uncomfortable things and you raised some issues, some very legitimate issues, issues about sewer availability, issues about water availability, issues about noise and since then there have been some other issues identified by Mr. Murphree in the Planning Department and that resulted with us submitting to you back on October 14<sup>th</sup> of last year this very detailed and very specific document that went through each and every one of your concerns. We've identified in writing sewer capacity

exists, water capacity exists. We have shown to you, demonstrated to you that the DEC was on board with the project and in fact had issued us the required permitting I believe you were concerned about. With respect to noise we provided a very detailed plan with respect to noise and with traffic which were both mentioned by previous speakers so I won't take too much of your time with that.

We've demonstrated economic benefits since we've been here last, tremendous economic benefits that Mr. Voorhees spoke about to the entire Town of Riverhead not just the area in which this facility will be supposedly located and we've found a partner who, as you just heard, the highest employer in the Town of Riverhead and as I understand it, in the state of New York.

What we're asking and let's be very clear, is for something that is fourteen percent of the size of what has already been approved, not once, but twice and reviewed not once, but twice. This is at least the third time in excruciating detail. We have reviewed each and every environmental concern, each and every economic concern, each and every community concern that has been raised. As a result, and we've sited the case law again in this document that was submitted to you in October the conclusion is inescapable. There is simply no real basis upon which to rely under these facts for a positive declaration and we would ask instead that you prove a negative declaration. That's what's appropriate here in light of the amount of study, in light of the amount of material we have provided and most importantly in light of all the environmental review that has gone here for decades. I thank you very much for your time."

Supervisor Walter: "Mr. Kent are you bringing up the rear?"

Christopher Kent: "Christopher Kent, Farrell Fritz, PC for the applicant, Peconic Care to speak on Resolution 104 which is to consider under SEQRA a determination of significant and a positive declaration

You've heard a lot of speakers so it's going to cut my comments very short but indulge me for just a moment and I will give you some things that weren't said before. The key points here are that their proposing a combined one hundred and thirty-three thousand, nine hundred seventeen square feet where over a million square feet is permitted on this property. They're generating over a hundred and seventy jobs, full-time jobs there at the site and multiply that by creating other jobs in the community.

The current license is for eighty beds and the first eighteen to twenty-four months they probably will have probably between twenty and thirty beds with efforts to try and get up to eighty beds. If they wanted to go higher than eighty beds they would have to go back to oasis and increase their state license. So, that's important to note that you're not looking into some immediate impact of a hundred and thirty beds on the site.

I won't go through the analysis, it's already been conducted by many others it's just important to know that the problem of wetlands is not an issue because it's outside the jurisdiction of those wetlands. Compatible growth area of pine barrens, although this area is exempt from pine barrens it does have an overlay on it under town code that permits sixty-five percent clearing they're coming nowhere near that clearing limit, it's going to be much less than that.

As you heard the proposal is to develop approximately forty acres of the ninety-five acre site with the balance of the property to be set aside pursuant to the conservation easement for use by the Boy Scouts. They're been ongoing conversations with leaders including Dan Preston, the CEO of Luminati and his council. They're belief is that they will be able to coexist as neighbors

with Peconic Care at EPCAL. In fact, as recently as today Robert (inaudible), Council to Luminati, has spoken to Craig (inaudible) who is the in-house Councilman at (inaudible) and Mr. (inaudible) stated that as long as Peconic Care agrees to have no issue with noise and provides security at the site to prevent access to the runway they have no issue with the proposed use. The applicant represents today on the record, that they will agree that even coveted if necessary, they will have no issue with noise on the runway or in the industrial park as forbidden by the town and will provide security on site to prevent access to the runway and taxiways.

The Principals of the two companies have agreed that they share the same mission which is to have EPCAL in cutting edge business center for technological advances in their individual fields. Both Luminati and Peconic Care are working toward the future and can be leaders in identifying EPCAL as the place where future goals are achieved today. This project is ready to go today and we ask that under SEQRA you do not pos-dec it and vote No on resolution 104.”

Supervisor Walter: “So you know Chris, Dan Preston sent me a text he was not supporting it as of right now. I did receive that a little while ago, it is what it is.”

Christopher Kent: “I heard from in-house Council Craig (inaudible) that his attorney.”

Supervisor Walter: “I don’t disagree with you.”

Christopher Kent: “Ok.”

Supervisor Walter: “All right, anybody else wish to be heard on resolutions? Not seeing anybody let’s take up resolutions.”

## **RESOLUTIONS**

### **Resolution 89**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Suffolk County Grant to Purchase Teleconference Equipment Budget Adoption, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I just want to thank Sheriff DeMarco for this funding, it’s great. Almost twenty-three hundred dollars so thank you and yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Yes, I’ve got to thank again Sheriff DeMarco. He’s going to reimburse all the eastern towns for their teleconference equipment. He’s spending a lot of money on this so I want to thank him very much.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #90**

Councilman Wooten: “Capital Project Closure #60021, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #91**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Capital Project Closure #60024, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #92**

Councilman Hubbard: “Ambulance District Equipment Budget Adjustment, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “This is for our two extra ambulances in case someone breaks down we’ll have the extra one to use so I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #93**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post Request for Proposals for GASB 45, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #94**

Councilman Wooten: “Sets Hourly Rates for Prestige Data Inc., so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #95**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorization to Publish Advertisement for Disposal and Recycling of Municipal Solid Waste, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #96**

Councilman Hubbard: “Awards Bid for Highway Department Metal Storage Building, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #97**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post the Attached Notice to Bidders for the Annual Construction Contract, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #98**

Councilman Wooten: “Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post the Attached Notice to Bidders for the Annual Procurement Contract, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #99**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorized Attendance of Judge for Training, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Yes, we were one of the first to implement this and the Judge has (inaudible) so I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #100**

Councilman Hubbard: “Appoints a Public Safety Dispatcher to the Police Department (Patricia A. Lopez), so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #101**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes Publication of a Help Wanted Advertisement Regarding Attendance at Suffolk County Police Academy, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Yes, so anybody that wants to go to the Suffolk County Police Academy on their own time they can submit an application to us and the Academy’s going to start in October so you’ll be a part-time police officer for the town or for other towns if you stay with us for one year so I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #102**

Councilman Wooten: “Approves the Chapter 255 Parade and Assembly Application Permit of the East End Emerald Society (St. Patrick’s Day Parade – March 25, 2017), so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes as amended correctly; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #103**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Ratifies the Authorization to Publish a Help Wanted Advertisement for a Water Treatment Plant Treatment Operator IIB, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

**Resolution #104**

Councilman Hubbard: “SEQRA Determination of Significance for the Site Plan Application Known as Peconic Care (SCTM No. 600-135-1-7.56) Calverton, NY, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, No; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I just want to say the ZBA determined that (inaudible) research facility and I feel a research facility is needed because of the drug epidemic that we have in the County and I think this is an important part to adopt into the Northwell Health System and I’m glad that they’re here to support the application and I believe that all of the issues that were brought up that would be in this type 1 or positive declaration have been answered to my satisfaction and so I’m going to vote no on this resolution.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ve been wrestling back and forth. I think what this means to the town, I hope that this place goes out of business in ten years because then a cure (inaudible) but we all know that’s not what’s going to happen, we all know that. The community, the state, the county, they’re all really. It is just another avenue of medicine to address and it really is to me a very benign use of funds (inaudible) heavy traffic so it’s not going to impact anybody else in the community so I think to make it a posdec, to stall really it’s not a good thing so I’m going to vote no.”

Vote Continued: “Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “I guess I’ve got to (inaudible) Long Island we have a lot of small treatment centers around Riverhead. This treatment center is going to be state of the art, it’s going to be number one and I think that Riverhead should put their foot forward and have a state of the art facility here in Riverhead to start the county off so I have to vote no for this declaration.”

Vote Continued: “Walter.”

Supervisor Walter: “Not that it matters but I vote yes because I think this is probably the singular worse location that we could an addiction facility next to what is an active runway and it will be an active runway so I do vote yes.”

Diane Wilhelm: “Resolution not adopted.”

**Resolution #105**

Councilman Dunleavy: “SEQRA Classification, LEAD Agency Request and Determination of Significance for Verizon Wireless, LLC: Special Permit/Site Plan at St. Isidore Cemetery, Reeves Avenue and Horton Avenue, Riverhead, NY, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “We pos-dec’d also towers (inaudible) in the Town of Riverhead because they want to see the studies (inaudible) before we approve cell towers to be built all around town. I vote yes and look forward to seeing (inaudible).”

Vote Continued: “Wooten.”

Councilman Wooten: “This is where pos-dec does come in handy because you really want to see this not only (inaudible), yes.”

Vote Continued: “Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Yes I’m going to follow the Councilman. We have to know what these cell towers look like, what the residents feel about them and the (inaudible) that you’re looking at so I vote yes for this.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #106**

Councilman Wooten: “SEQRA Classification, Lead Agency request and Determination of Significance for Verizon Wireless, LLC: Special Permit/Site Plan at Woodside Orchards, Jamesport, NY, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Again all new cell towers be pos-dec, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “I have the same remarks I had before, I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

**Resolution #107**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Ratifies the Appointment of a Call-in Assistance Recreation Leader to the Recreation Department (Melissa Muller), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

**Resolution #108**

Councilman Hubbard: “ratifies the Appointment of a Call-in Recreation Specialist to the Recreation Department (Rosaleigh Horton), so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

**Resolution #109**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Reappoints Member to the Riverhead Open Space/Park Preserve Committee (Charles Cetas), so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

**Resolution #110**

Councilman Wooten: “Reappoints Member to the Riverhead Open Space/Park Preserve Committee (Janis Leonti), so moved.

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #111**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Reappoints Member to the Riverhead Open Space/Park Preserve Committee (Nancy Gilbert), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #112**

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute a Musical Works License agreement with SESAC LLC, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #113**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post Public Notice of Public Hearing to Consider a Local Law to Amend Chapter 289 Entitled “Vehicles, Traffic and Parking Regulations” of the Riverhead Town Code (289-7. Weight Limit of Eight Tons), so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “This is on Hubbard Avenue and Meetinghouse Creek Road and Peconic Bay Blvd. and the public hearing is set for April 4, 2017 for anybody who is interested in coming (inaudible) 2:05 in the afternoon and that is for weight limits on Hubbard Avenue, Peconic Bay and Meetinghouse and I will vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Dunleavy.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “Yes and this is from the intersection of Rt. 105 east on Hubbard Avenue, not north so I’m going to vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #114**

Councilman Wooten: “Adopts a Local Law to Amend Chapter 289 Entitled “Vehicles, Traffic and Parking Regulations” of the Riverhead Town Code (289-10. Parking Prohibited.– Second Street), so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #115**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes Legal Action Against the Owners, Tenants, Occupants and Mortgagee of Premises Located at 125 Creek Road, Wading River, NY, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #116**

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute an Agreement (Thomas Parris), so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes, Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

#### **Resolution #117**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute an Agreement with Family Service League for Employee Assistance Program, own Clerk to Publish and Post Public Notice of Public Hearing to Consider a Local so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #118**

Councilman Wooten: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute a License Agreement with Aeros Cultured Oyster Company to Allow the Installation of Floating Upweller Systems (FLUPSY) in East Creek, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #119**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes First Amendment to Agreement with New York SMSA Limited Partnership D/B/A Verizon Wireless, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #120**

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes Supervisor to Execute Agreement with Suffolk Youth Lacrosse Officials for Referee/Umpire Services for Town of Riverhead Police Athletic League Boys Lacrosse Program Grades 4-8 for 2017 Calendar Year, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #121**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute an Agreement with Riverhead Lacrosse Club, Inc. for Referee/Umpire Services for Town of Riverhead Police Athletic League Girls Grades K-8 and Boys Grades K-3 Lacrosse Program 2017, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #122**

Councilman Wooten: “Authorizes the Release of Drainage Security of Browning Hotel Properties, LLC, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #123**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes the Town Clerk to Publish and Post Public Notice to Consider a Local Law to Amend Chapter 265 Entitled “Sewers” of the Code of the Town of Riverhead, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #124**

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes Attendance at the 2017 Training School and Annual Meeting Held by the Association of Towns (February 19-22, 2017), so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy: “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #125**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute Polling Place Agreements with Suffolk County Board of Elections, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #126**

Councilman Wooten: “Approves Settlement of Legal Action by William F. DeMicco Against the Town of Riverhead, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #127**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Approves Final Site Plan Application of Peconic Bay Medical/Northwell Health, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #128**

Councilman Hubbard: “Ratifies Settlement of Legal Action by Campo Brothers Against the Town of Riverhead, so moved.”

Councilman Dunleavy “And seconded.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #129**

Councilman Dunleavy: “Authorizes the Publication of a Help “Wanted Advertisement for Part-time Court Officers, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **Resolution #130**

Councilman Wooten: “Pays Bills, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Supervisor Walter: “We have one resolution off the floor. This is 131 Engineering Fees for the Construction of a Concrete Ground Storage Tank and Booster Station at Plant 15 Budget Adoption. This is to move (inaudible) and transfer it to professional services (inaudible). Can I get a motion?”

Councilman Dunleavy: “I make a motion we take Resolution 131 off the floor, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second it.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded to take it off the floor. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution 131 is taken off the floor.”

### **Resolution #131**

Councilwoman Giglio: “Resolution #131 Engineering Fees for the Construction of a Concrete Ground Storage Tank and Booster Station at Plant 15 Budget Adoption, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard “Second.”

Supervisor Walter: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Walter, yes. Resolution adopted.”

### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Supervisor Walter: “This is the portion where we take comments from the public on things that may be before the Town of Riverhead. We ask that you limit your comments to five minutes. Does anybody wish to be heard today?”

Michelle Beluccio from Staten Island wanted to comment on the banning of short-term rentals in Wading River. She had several letters in favor of short-term renting which she submitted to the Town Clerk for posting. She did however read a letter of support from Patricia O’Brian, owner of the Wading River Deli.

Linda Clifford from New York City also spoke in favor of short-term leasing saying she loves coming out for just a few days and renting. She also had several letters from home owners who are in favor of short-term leasing.

Mimi Mears lives in Wading River and stated that when she travels she stays in short-term rentals. She believes that short-term leasing benefits the shop owners who can then employ more people in the area and in the long run benefits the town.

William Charles Van Helmond wanted to know if there was any property available for a coliseum to be built in the area for the Islanders to call home.

Supervisor Walter said he has property in upstate New York and knows none of his neighbors when he goes up there. He continued by saying he would not be happy with short-term leasing here because he would never know his neighbors. He also feels short-term leasing is dangerous since people leasing are not familiar with the house and area if there should be a fire or emergency while they are leasing. He added that Creek Road is not zoned for commercial and Creek Road is changing because of the short-term leasing. The Supervisor also said he’s not sure what the Town Board is going to do about short-term leasing but the law is in effect and the Town will keep enforcing that law.

Councilman Wooten, Dunleavy, and Councilwoman Giglio agreed with Supervisor Walter reiterating safety issues, quality of life issues and illegal add-ons to rentals. Councilman Hubbard on the other hand agrees with short-term leasing adding that he leases short term down south and possibly Riverhead could follow some of their policies regarding short-term leasing.

Amy Czorny was the last speaker to come forward and speak on short-term leasing. She said she did not think people who live outside of her community should have any input in shaping the regulations of the community. She said Creek Road is zoned residential and if long term leasing was to be allowed the zoning in that area would have to be changed. She continued by saying homes were bought due to the residential zoning and she would not be happy if short-term leasing was allowed and the zoning was changed to commercial zoning.

Supervisor Walter: “Does anybody else wish to be heard tonight? Not seeing anybody can I get a motion to adjourn?”

Councilman Dunleavy: “I make a motion we adjourn, so moved.”

Supervisor Walter: “All in favor, aye? Opposed? Abstentions? Town Board meeting is closed.”

**Meeting Adjourned at 3:55 p.m.**