

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD
HELD IN THE TOWN HALL ON TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 1960 AT 9:30 A. M.

PRESENT:

WILLIAM J. LEONARD, SUPERVISOR

OTIS G. PIKE
BRUNO F. ZALOGA, JR., JUSTICES OF THE PEACE

ELMER A. STOTZKY
ULICK BELL, JR., COUNCILMEN

ALSO PRESENT: JACOB HARDING, TOWN ATTORNEY AND THADDEUS ZEMBKO,
SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS
SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL.

RESOLVED THAT THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD HELD
ON MARCH 15, 1960, BE APPROVED AS SUBMITTED.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES,
JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD,
YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

SUPERVISOR'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF MARCH, 1960 WAS SUBMITTED
TO THE BOARD AND ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

THE REPORT OF THE RECREATION DEPARTMENT FOR THE MONTH OF MARCH,
1960 WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AND ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

POLICE REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF MARCH 1960 WAS SUBMITTED TO THE
BOARD AND ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

STATEMENT OF TAX COLLECTIONS DATED APRIL 1, 1960 FROM TAX
RECEIVER CHARLES ALLEN HORTON, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AND
ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 15, 1960 FROM THE STATE TRAFFIC
COMMISSION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"MR. EARL H. FISCHER, SECRETARY OF THE RIVERHEAD
SAVINGS BANK REQUESTED THAT WE REVIEW THE OPERATION
OF SIGNAL #81 WHICH IS LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION
OF MAIN STREET, ROUTE 25, AND PECONIC AVENUE, IN
THE HAMLET OF RIVERHEAD.

AN INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED AT THIS LOCA-
TION AND IT HAS BEEN DECIDED TO REMOVE THE RIGHT
TURN GREEN ARROW ON A TRIAL BASIS. IF THE
OPERATION OF THIS SIGNAL WITHOUT THE RIGHT TURN
GREEN ARROW PROVES TO BE SATISFACTORY, IT WILL,
OF COURSE, BE CONTINUED. THE COMMISSION HAS,

THEREFORE, ISSUED AN ORDER TO COVER THIS CHANGE IN OPERATION AND A COPY IS ENCLOSED FOR YOUR RECORDS."

END.

COPY OF COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 21, 1960 SENT TO THE STATE TRAFFIC COMMISSION BY TOWN ATTORNEY HARDING AT THE REQUEST OF THE SUPERVISOR WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"I AM REFERRING TO YOUR LETTER OF MARCH 15TH ADDRESSED TO THE TOWN BOARD OF RIVERHEAD. IT REFERS TO SIGNAL #81, WHICH IS LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF MAIN STREET, (ROUTE 25), AND PECONIC AVENUE IN THE HAMLET OF RIVERHEAD. THE SUPERVISOR, IN BEHALF OF THE TOWN BOARD, REQUESTS THAT YOUR REVIEW OF THE OPERATION OF THIS SIGNAL BE POSTPONED. HE FEELS THAT THE TOWN BOARD SHOULD ORDER THIS REVIEW RATHER THAN AN INDIVIDUAL. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN OUR IMPRESSION THAT THE STATE TRAFFIC COMMISSION WORKS WITH A MUNICIPAL BOARD.

MAY WE HEAR FROM YOU."

END.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 23, 1960 FROM THE STATE TRAFFIC COMMISSION IN REPLY TO TOWN ATTORNEY HARDINGS COMMUNICATION, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD IN WHICH THEY ADVISED THAT THE RIGHT TURN GREEN ARROW WOULD BE REMOVED AS PER STATE TRAFFIC COMMISSION ORDER DATED MARCH 15, 1960.

MATTER REFERRED TO SUPERVISOR LEONARD.

COMMUNICATIONS ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED APRIL 4, 1960 FROM FRANK J. SMITH, INSURANCE AGENT FOR THE TOWN, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD RELATIVE TO LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERAGE ON DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUMPS.

HE ADVISED THAT THE SUMPS SITUATE ON LINDA AVENUE AND PARKWAY STREET ARE NOT COVERED FOR LIABILITY INSURANCE. COVERAGE WILL APPLY AFTER THESE SUMPS ARE ENCLOSED WITH A SIX FOOT HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE SECURELY ANCHORED IN A CONCRETE CURB, TWO FEET BELOW THE SURFACE OF THE GROUND AND SIX INCHES WIDE AT THE TOP.

MATTER REFERRED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED APRIL 4, 1960 FROM FRANK J. SMITH, INSURANCE AGENT FOR THE TOWN, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD RELATIVE TO "VALUABLE RECORDS INSURANCE".

IT WAS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD THAT THE GREATEST DANGER TO LOSS OF VALUABLE RECORDS EXISTED IN THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE, DUE TO ITS LOCATION IN THE TOWN HALL.

MATTER REFERRED TO THE ASSESSORS FOR STUDY AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 24, 1960 FROM THE BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS, RIVERHEAD FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD REQUESTING THE ERECTION OF BOUNDARY SIGNS FOR ALL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICTS AND FIRE DISTRICTS IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD. MATTER REFERRED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS. COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A RESOLUTION DULY ADOPTED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN ON MARCH 24, 1960 RELATIVE TO PREVENTION OF THE NEEDLESS DESTRUCTION OF TREES BY DEVELOPERS AND INDIVIDUALS DURING CONSTRUCTION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD. COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 16, 1960 FROM LOUIS STAKEY, AQUEBOGUE, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"I HAVE A POTENTIAL PURCHASER FOR MY PROPERTY ON OSBORNE AVENUE. TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO, THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT INSTALLED A WATER DRAIN UNDERNEATH OSBORNE AVENUE THAT DRAINS ON MY PROPERTY. IT WAS PUT THERE AS A TEMPORARY MEASURE. I NOW WISH TO HAVE YOU REMOVE IT." END.

MATTER REFERRED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS. COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 16, 1960 FROM LOUIS STAKEY, AQUEBOGUE, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"I HAVE A POTENTIAL PURCHASER FOR MY PROPERTY ON OSBORNE AVENUE, WHICH COMES TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY END, AND THIS POINT IS BETWEEN THE HIGHWAY PROPERTY AND OSBORNE AVENUE. I AM OFFERING IT TO THE TOWN FOR PURCHASE AT \$30.00 PER FOOT." END.

MATTER REFERRED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS. COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

JUSTICE ZALOGA OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL.

RESOLVED THAT KENNETH ROWLAND, RECREATION DIRECTOR, BE AND HE HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO ATTEND THE NEW YORK STATE RECREATION SOCIETY CONFERENCE TO BE HELD AT WEST POINT, NEW YORK, APRIL 24TH THROUGH APRIL 27TH, 1960, AND THAT ALL NECESSARY EXPENSES INCIDENTAL TO THIS ATTENDANCE BE PAID.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

JUSTICE ZALOGA OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE PIKE.

WHEREAS AMANDA JANE BARTLETT IS PRESENTLY SERVING AS A CLERK PART-TIME IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECREATION DIRECTOR ON A TEMPORARY BASIS, AT THE PLEASURE OF THE TOWN BOARD, AND

WHEREAS HER SERVICES ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED IN THAT OFFICE, NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE SERVICES AND EMPLOYMENT OF SAID AMANDA JANE BARTLETT, BE AND THE SAME IS TERMINATED, EFFECTIVE APRIL 15, 1960.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL.

RESOLVED THAT PETER DOUGLAS OWEN, LAURENCE THEODORE KOWALSKI, BENJAMIN KOSTYRA, ANTONE BUGDIN, HAROLD W. DOWNS, A.T. WATSON AND WLADYSLAW BUCZYNSKI, RIVERHEAD, N.Y., BE AND THEY ARE HEREBY APPOINTED LABORERS IN THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT, TO BE COMPENSATED AT THE RATE OF \$1.75 PER HOUR, PAYABLE SEMI-MONTHLY, EFFECTIVE APRIL 3, 1960. THE VOTE---

COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, NO, JUSTICE ZALOGA, NO. AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL.

RESOLVED THAT LEO CECKOWSKI, RIVERHEAD, BE AND HE IS HEREBY APPOINTED A MOTOR EQUIPMENT OPERATOR IN THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT, TO BE COMPENSATED AT THE RATE OF \$2.25 PER HOUR, PAYABLE SEMI-MONTHLY, EFFECTIVE APRIL 4, 1960.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

JUSTICE ZALOGA OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE PIKE.

RESOLVED THAT APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD, FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MUNICIPAL LAW OFFICERS BE MADE AND THAT THE FEE FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE SUM OF \$47.50 BE A TOWN CHARGE.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

MR. JOHN STARK, PRESIDENT OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY NATIONAL BANK, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND AGAIN OFFERED FOR SALE TO THE TOWN, THE OLD SUFFOLK COUNTY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING SITUATE ON THE N/S OF MAIN STREET. THE PRICE FOR SAME BEING \$70,000. AND HE STATED THAT THE BANK WOULD LEND THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY TO THE TOWN AT $2\frac{1}{2}\%$ INTEREST, TO BE REPAID OVER A 5 YEAR PERIOD.

MATTER TABLED FOR FURTHER STUDY.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 28, 1960 FROM RICHARD WILHELM, SOUTHAMPTON, N.Y., WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"WE ARE SUBMITTING HERewith 3 COPIES OF THE PRELIMINARY MAP SHOWING ROADS PROPOSED TO BE DEDICATED TO THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD BY THE JAMESPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, SITUATED IN JAMESPORT, N.Y. FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

THE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY YOU SEVERAL MONTHS AGO HAVE BEEN MADE."

END.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

JUSTICE PIKE OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL.

WHEREAS THE TOWN BOARD HAD RECEIVED ON AUGUST 18, 1959, A REPORT OF THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD THAT THE PRELIMINARY PLAN SHOWING ALSTON ROAD AND MARTINI ROAD, PROPOSED TO BE DEDICATED AS PUBLIC HIGHWAYS, BY THE JAMESPORT DEVELOPMENT CORP. WOULD NEED TO BE AMENDED TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS IN THE "RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR DEDICATION OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD" AND

WHEREAS THE TOWN BOARD BY RESOLUTION ON AUGUST 18, 1959, ACCEPTED THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PLANNING BOARD AND A COPY OF THE REPORT OF THE PLANNING BOARD WAS FORWARDED TO THE JAMESPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, AND

WHEREAS THE JAMESPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION HAS THIS DAY SUBMITTED A REVISED PRELIMINARY PLAN DATED JUNE 1959 AND FEBRUARY 1960, SHOWING THE PRELIMINARY PLAN AMENDED TO CONFORM WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PLANNING BOARD,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE JAMESPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BE ADVISED THAT THE PRELIMINARY PLAN OF ALSTON ROAD AND MARTINI ROAD AS SUBMITTED ON THIS DATE IS APPROVED AND THAT THE FINAL APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE OF ROADS BE BASED ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE OTHER REQUIREMENTS IN THE "RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR DEDICATION OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD".

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED APRIL 4, 1960 FROM THE RIVERHEAD AUXILIARY POLICE ASSOCIATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

"WE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK

THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD, POLICE COMMISSIONER ZALOGA AND POLICE CHIEF GRODSKI, FOR THE FAITH AND TRUST SHOWN TO THE AUXILIARY POLICE IN THEIR RECENT DRILL IN THE OPERATIONAL DUTIES OF THE POLICE FORCE. MORALE WAS NEVER HIGHER AND MUCH WAS LEARNED DURING THIS PERIOD. WE WOULD BE PLEASED TO SERVE OUR COMMUNITY AGAIN IN THIS CAPACITY."

END.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

AFTER BEING DULY ADVERTISED SEALED BID FOR ONE (1) TAX EXTENSION COMPUTOR FOR USE IN THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE WAS OPENED AS FOLLOWS:

BURROUGHS CORPORATION-----\$11,300.25

BID ORDERED FILED.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE PIKE.

WHEREAS THE TOWN BOARD DEEMS THE MACHINE PRESENTLY USED FOR THE PRINTING OF TAX BILLS FOR THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD TO BE UNSATISFACTORY AND INADEQUATE TO FURNISH PROPER INFORMATION TO THE TAXPAYERS, AND

WHEREAS THE SAID TOWN BOARD ACKNOWLEDGES THE NEED FOR PURCHASING A LARGER AND MORE SATISFACTORY LABOR SAVING MACHINE OR EQUIPMENT TO ASSIST THE ASSESSORS AND TAX RECEIVER IN THE BETTER PERFORMANCE OF THEIR DUTIES OF OFFICE,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE TOWN BOARD, IN BEHALF OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD, AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF A TAX EXTENSION COMPUTOR WITH COMPONENT ACCESSORIES AT A COST NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF \$11,330.00, AND

FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE COST BE PAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF OBLIGATIONS TO BE ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE ZALOGA.

RESOLVED THAT THE BID FOR ONE (1) TAX EXTENSION COMPUTOR WITH COMPONENT ACCESSORIES FOR USE IN THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE, BE, AND IT IS HEREBY AWARDED TO BURROUGHS CORPORATION, 225 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE, WEST HEMPSTEAD, N.Y., AT A TOTAL PRICE OF \$11,300.25, SUBJECT TO ITS BID AND SPECIFICATION FORM SUBMITTED AND DATED MARCH 25, 1960.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

JUSTICE PIKE OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE ZALOGA.

WHEREAS THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD, N.Y., BY A

RESOLUTION DULY ADOPTED ON THE 5TH DAY OF APRIL, 1960, AUTHORIZED THE PURCHASE OF A TAX EXTENSION COMPUTOR WITH COMPONENT ACCESSORIES AND PROVIDED THAT \$11,300.25, OF SUCH COST WAS TO BE PAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF OBLIGATIONS TO BE ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW,

Now, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

1. THE SPECIFIC OBJECT OR PURPOSE FOR WHICH OBLIGATIONS ARE TO BE ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION IS TO FINANCE THE COST OF A TAX EXTENSION COMPUTOR WITH COMPONENT ACCESSORIES.
2. THE MAXIMUM COST OF SUCH MACHINERY OR EQUIPMENT IS \$11,300.25, WHICH IS TO BE PROVIDED BY THE ISSUANCE OF A CAPITAL NOTE, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$11,300.25, PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION.
3. THE SECTION OF THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE IS SECTION 28.00.
4. THAT THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD ISSUE ITS CAPITAL NOTE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$11,300.25 TO FINANCE SUCH COST. SUCH NOTE SHALL BE DATED APPROXIMATELY AS OF THE DATE OF THE DELIVERY OF SUCH MACHINERY AND THE POWER TO FIX AND DETERMINE THE EXACT DATE OF SUCH NOTE IS HEREBY DELEGATED TO THE SUPERVISOR.
5. SUCH CAPITAL NOTE SHALL BE NUMBERED 1 AND SHALL MATURE IN THE YEAR 1961. THE POWER TO FIX AND DETERMINE THE DATE UPON WHICH SUCH NOTE SHALL BECOME DUE AND PAYABLE IS HEREBY DELEGATED TO THE SUPERVISOR. THE NOTE SHALL BE ISSUED IN BEARER FORM, SHALL NOT CONTAIN A POWER TO CONVERT TO REGISTERED FORM, AND SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A RATE NOT EXCEEDING 3 1/2 PER CENTUM PER ANNUM. SUCH NOTE SHALL BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FOLLOWING FORM:

No. _____	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF _____ TOWN OF _____	\$ (HERE INSERT AMOUNT OF NOTE)
-----------	---	---------------------------------------

CAPITAL NOTE OF 19__

THE TOWN OF _____, IN THE COUNTY OF _____, A MUNICIPALITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES ITSELF INDEBTED AND FOR VALUE RECEIVED PROMISES TO PAY TO THE BEARER OF THIS NOTE THE SUM OF _____ DOLLARS (\$) ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 19____, TOGETHER WITH INTEREST THEREON FROM THE DATE HEREOF AT THE RATE OF _____ PER CENTUM (____%) PER ANNUM, PAYABLE (STATE WHETHER AT MATURITY, ANNUALLY OR SEMI-ANNUALLY). BOTH PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON THIS NOTE WILL BE PAID IN LAWFUL MONEY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AT THE OFFICE OF (HERE INSERT PLACE OR PLACES OF PAYMENT).

(NOTE: THE NOTE MAY BE PAYABLE AT THE OFFICE OF A TOWN OFFICER. IT MAY ALSO BE PAYABLE AT A NEW YORK STATE BANK OR TRUST COMPANY. IT MAY BE PAYABLE AT BOTH PLACES.)

THIS NOTE MAY NOT BE CONVERTED TO REGISTERED FORM.

THIS NOTE IS THE ONLY NOTE OF AN AUTHORIZED ISSUE IN THE AMOUNT OF _____ DOLLARS (\$ _____), (OR) THIS NOTE IS ONE OF AN AUTHORIZED ISSUE, THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF WHICH IS _____ DOLLARS

(\$), THE NOTES OF WHICH ARE OF LIKE TENOR, EXCEPT AS TO NUMBER, MATURITY AND DENOMINATION.

(NOTE: IF THE NOTES ARE OF EQUAL DENOMINATION, CHANGE THE ABOVE TO READ "...EXCEPT AS TO NUMBER AND MATURITY...")

THIS NOTE IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF A RESOLUTION ENTITLED "CAPITAL NOTE RESOLUTION" DULY ADOPTED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF SUCH TOWN OF _____ ON _____, 19__.

THE FAITH AND CREDIT OF SUCH TOWN OF _____ ARE HEREBY IRREVOCABLY PLEDGED FOR THE PUNCTUAL PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON THIS NOTE ACCORDING TO ITS TERMS.

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED AND RECITED THAT ALL CONDITIONS, ACTS AND THINGS REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND STATUTES OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO EXIST, TO HAVE HAPPENED AND TO HAVE BEEN PERFORMED PRECEDENT TO AND IN THE ISSUANCE OF THIS NOTE, EXIST, HAVE HAPPENED AND HAVE BEEN PERFORMED, AND THAT THIS NOTE, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF SUCH TOWN OF _____ IS WITHIN EVERY DEBT AND OTHER LIMIT PRESCRIBED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF SUCH STATE.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE TOWN OF _____, NEW YORK, HAS CAUSED THIS NOTE TO BE SIGNED BY ITS SUPERVISOR, AND ITS CORPORATE SEAL TO BE HEREUNTO AFFIXED AND ATTESTED BY ITS TOWN CLERK AND THIS NOTE TO BE DATED AS OF THE _____ DAY OF _____, 19__.

TOWN OF _____, New York

By _____

ITS SUPERVISOR

(TOWN SEAL)

ATTEST: _____

TOWN CLERK OF THE
TOWN OF _____, New York

6. THE SUPERVISOR IS HEREBY DELEGATED THE POWER TO PREPARE SUCH NOTE AND TO SELL SAME AT PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SALE AT NOT LESS THAN PAR AND ACCRUED INTEREST, AND AT SUCH SALE TO FIX THE INTEREST RATE TO BE BORNE BY SUCH NOTE WITHIN THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION. THE SUPERVISOR SHALL DELIVER SUCH NOTE TO THE PURCHASER THEREOF ONLY AGAINST CASH OR CERTIFIED CHECK. THE PROCEEDS OF SALE OF THE NOTE SHALL BE DEPOSITED IN A SPECIAL ACCOUNT FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PURCHASE. THE POWERS DELEGATED TO THE SUPERVISOR BY THIS RESOLUTION SHALL BE EXERCISED IN CONFORMITY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW.

7. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY.

THE VOTE---COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

AT 11:00 A.M. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING, WHICH WAS DULY PUBLISHED WAS READ AND SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD RELATIVE TO THE ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE No. 28, "AN ORDINANCE REGULATING THE BUSINESS OF MAINTAINING AND OPERATING FOR HIRE, WASHING MACHINES, DRYING MACHINES AND

DRY CLEANING MACHINES".

PROOF OF PUBLICATION AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ORDERED FILED.

SUPERVISOR LEONARD DECLARED THE HEARING OPEN TO ANYONE WISHING TO BE HEARD IN FAVOR OF OR OBJECTING TO THIS PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

WALTER ORLOWSKI, RIVERHEAD, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD FAVORING THE ADOPTION OF SAID ORDINANCE. HE OPERATES AN ATTENDED LAUNDRY.

HE STATED:

UNATTENDED AUTOMATIC LAUNDRIES CONSTITUTE A THREAT TO THE SAFETY OF USERS DUE TO THE DANGER OF ELECTRICAL, GAS AND OTHER MECHANICAL FAILURES.

WE HAVE ALREADY HAD A SERIOUS FIRE IN THE UNATTENDED LAUNDRY IN RIVERHEAD AND SEVERAL FIRES HAVE OCCURRED IN PORT JEFFERSON IN UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES.

GAS FIRED BOILER EQUIPMENT IN MY STORE CAUGHT FIRE. I CALLED THE RIVERHEAD FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THEY PUT IT OUT. I WISH TO STRESS THAT THIS EQUIPMENT THAT CAUGHT FIRE WAS STILL UNDER GUARANTEE.

I HAVE HAD SEVERAL OTHER SMALL FIRES IN MY STORE WHICH I PUT OUT BY MYSELF.

THE REASON PROPRIETORS OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE OPPOSED TO THIS ORDINANCE IS THAT IT COST MONEY TO HAVE AN ATTENDANT AND THEREFORE THERE IS LESS PROFIT, THUS LOSS OF APPEAL TO POTENTIAL OPERATORS.

I URGE YOU TO CONSIDER THE WELFARE OF USERS OF COIN OPERATED LAUNDRIES AND ADOPT THIS ORDINANCE.

JOSEPH HELOSIE, OPERATOR OF AN ATTENDED LAUNDRY IN PORT JEFFERSON, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD IN FAVOR OF SAID ORDINANCE.

HE STATED:

OPPONENTS TO THIS ORDINANCE REPRESENT BIG BUSINESS. THEY WANT THE ADOPTION OF THIS ORDINANCE DELAYED SO THAT THEY MAY ESTABLISH MORE STORES WITHOUT ATTENDANTS.

WE ARE NOT FIGHTING FOR THE ADOPTION OF THIS ORDINANCE ON ECONOMICAL BASIS, MERELY ON THE SAFETY ANGLE.

MANY OWNERS OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE DOCTORS, DENTISTS, AIRLINE PILOTS, ETC., WHO ARE NOT CONCERNED WITH PROPER MAINTENANCE AND PROPER SAFETY.

STATE LABOR DEPARTMENT WILL NOT ALLOW ANYONE UNDER 16 TO WORK IN MY STORE. HOW CAN YOU CONSIDER THE OPERATION OF AN UNATTENDED LAUNDRY SAFE IS I CANNOT HIRE ANYONE UNDER 16?

IN OKLAHOMA A CHILD WAS BURNED TO DEATH IN A DRYER IN AN UNATTENDED LAUNDRY.

UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE COSTLY TO THE TOWN AS THEY ARE CONSTANTLY CALLING FOR THE SERVICES OF THE POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT.

EMIL EILERTSEN, OPERATOR OF TWO ATTENDED LAUNDRIES IN LAKE RONKONKOMA, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD FAVORING THE ADOPTION OF SAID ORDINANCE.

HE EXHIBITED AN ACCUMULATION OF LINT GATHERED FROM ONE DRYER OVER A TWO DAY PERIOD AND DEMONSTRATED HOW READILY LINT CATCHES FIRE.

HE STATED THAN AN ATTENDANT MUST CONSTANTLY BE ALERT AND PROVIDE PROPER MAINTENANCE.

HOWARD LEVIN OF HAMPTON BAYS APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD FAVORING THE ADOPTION OF SAID ORDINANCE.

HE UNVEILED AN AUTOMATIC WASHING MACHINE AND WITH THE AID OF MR. ORLOWSKI, MR. HELOSKIE AND MR. EILERTSEN, PUT ON A LIVE DEMONSTRATION EXPOSING THE HAZARDS PRESENT IN AUTOMATIC WASHERS.

POLICE CHIEF GRODSKI PRESENTED TO THE BOARD A REPORT OF POLICE AND FIRE COMPLAINTS RELATIVE TO THE OPERATION OF THE UNATTENDED LAUNDRY ON WEST MAIN STREET.

REPORT ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED MARCH 24, 1960 FROM ALEX SCHULTZ, FIRE COODINATOR OF SUFFOLK COUNTY, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD RECOMMENDING THE ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING THAT ATTENDANTS BE PRESENT AT ALL AUTOMATIC LAUNDRIES WHILE THEY ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

MR. ORLOWSKI PRESENTED TO THE BOARD SEVERAL PIECES OF LITERATURE RELATIVE TO THE DANGERS OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES.

LITERATURE ORDERED FILED.

LINCOLN SCHMIDT, Esq., PATCHOGUE, REPRESENTING LAUNDERS CENTER, DISTRIBUTORS FOR PHILCO-BENDIX EQUIPMENT IN THE METROPOLITAN AND LONG ISLAND AREA, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD IN OPPOSITION TO THE ADOPTION OF SAID ORDINANCE.

HE STATED:

UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE NOT RUN BY BIG BUSINESS.

THE PROBLEM HERE IS THAT A GROUP OF ATTENDED LAUNDRY OWNERS FORMED AN ASSOCIATED AND WENT ON TOUR AND SOLICITED EVERY TOWN BOARD TO ADOPT AN ORDINANCE AS PROPOSED HERE TODAY. THEY HAVE SOLICITED THE AID OF TOWN BOARDS FOR ECONOMIC PROTECTION OF THEIR BUSINESS.

UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE NOT NEW AND YOU HAD A SERIOUS FIRE IN RIVERHEAD WHILE THE LAUNDRY WAS ATTENDED.

MR. SCHMIDT FURTHER STATED:

THE LARGEST PERCENTAGE OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRY MACHINES ARE NOT IN STORES BUT IN APARTMENTS, HOSPITALS AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS. HAVE YOU CONSIDERED THE EFFECT THIS ORDINANCE WOULD HAVE ON THE OPERATION OF MACHINES IN THESE PLACES.

THE CHILD BURNED TO DEATH IN A DRYER IN OKLAHOMA WAS A MENTALLY DEFICIENT CHILD WHOSE MOTHER LET HIM WANDER CARELESSLY.

THE SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM IS PROPER SAFETY REGULATIONS AND PROPERLY SAFEGUARDED MACHINES AND NOT THE REQUIREMENT OF AN ATTENDANT.

MACHINES SHOULD BE APPROVED BY LOCAL ORDINANCE BEFORE BEING PERMITTED TO BE USED BY THE PUBLIC. SALE OF LIQUID DETERGENT SHOULD BE BANNED AND BOILER ROOM IN STORES SHOULD BE SEPARATE AND SAFE.

OPERATORS OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE EXPERT HELP. WASHING AND DRYING CHARGES IN UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE MUCH LOWER THAN THOSE IN ATTENDED LAUNDRIES.

JUSTICE PIKE:

MR. SCHMIDT, YOU STATED THAT THE SERIOUS FIRE WE HAD IN THE LAUNDRY ON WEST MAIN STREET WAS AN ATTENDED LAUNDRY. THE PERSON WHO DISCOVERED THE FIRE WAS A FORMER EMPLOYEE BUT SHE HAD BEEN DISCHARGED.

LINCOLN SCHMIDT:

LET US SAY THEN, EXPERIENCED FORMER EMPLOYEE COULD NOT PREVENT THE FIRE. EVEN IN AN ATTENDED LAUNDRY THE ATTENDANT WILL ON OCCASION LEAVE THE PREMISES FOR A CUP OF COFFEE OR OTHER REASON.

CHARLES EAGAN, Esq., OYSTER BAY, REPRESENTING THE PHILCO BENDIX CORPORATION APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

HE STATED:

WE HAVE SEVERAL THINGS TO CONSIDER IN THIS MATTER. FIRST, DOES THE UNATTENDED LAUNDRY FIT IN WITH THE COMMUNITY SETTING. SECOND, THE NUISANCE AND VANDALISM PROBLEM AND THIRD, SAFETY IN OPERATION.

TO ANSWER THE FIRST CONSIDERATION WE FEEL THAT UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES ARE A BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY, BECAUSE OF THE LOW COST TO USERS. AS FOR THE PROBLEM OF LOITERING AND VANDALISM, AN UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES IS AN ATTRACTIVE NUISANCE, SO SUPPOSE WE CLOSE THEM AT NIGHT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT. REGARDING SAFETY IN OPERATION PHILCO-BENDIX CORPORATION MAKES A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION TO SEE IF HAZARDS CAN BE ELIMINATED AND THEY HAVE COME UP WITH VARIOUS SAFETY DEVICES.

BEFORE I GO ON, HOWEVER, IF APPEARS MR. PIKE, THAT YOU HAVE MADE UP YOUR MIND AND ARE IN FAVOR OF THIS ORDINANCE.

JUSTICE PIKE:

MR. EAGEN, IN ALL THE YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN ON THE TOWN BOARD THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT THE CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT PREDICTED THAT THERE WOULD BE A FIRE IN A CERTAIN LOCATION AND IT HAPPENED.

MR. EAGAN:

SUPPOSE I TOLD YOU THAT I COULD PRESENT IN FIVE DAYS AN ORDINANCE SUITABLE FOR THIS SITUATION, WOULD YOU DELAY ACTION ON THIS MATTER.

JUSTICE PIKE:

I FEEL WE CAN GIVE YOU THAT OPPORTUNITY.

WALTER ORLOWSKI:

IF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES CLOSE AT NIGHT THEY WILL INSTALL ELECTRONIC DEVICES TO OPEN AND CLOSE THE DOORS AND WHO IS GOING TO PROVIDE PROPER MAINTENANCE AND PARTICULARLY, CLEAN OUT THE LINT.

ELMER H. CHARLES: RIVERHEAD, AN INSURANCE COMPANY FIRE INSPECTOR, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT HE HAD MADE MANY INSPECTIONS OF UNATTENDED LAUNDRIES AND MANY TIMES HE FOUND THE BOILER ROOMS CLOSED AND IT WAS ALWAYS DIFFICULT TO FIND THE OWNERS.

NO ONE ELSE WISHING TO BE HEARD, SUPERVISOR LEONARD DECLARED THE HEARING CLOSED.

IT WAS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD THAT FURTHER ACTION ON THE ADOPTION OF THIS ORDINANCE BE DELAYED UNTIL A STUDY IS MADE OF THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT MR. EAGAN SAID HE WOULD PREPARE AND FORWARD TO SUPERVISOR LEONARD IN FIVE DAYS.

AT 11:15 A.M. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING, WHICH WAS DULY PUBLISHED WAS READ AND SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD RELATIVE TO THE ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE No. 29, "ORDINANCE RESTRAINING THE RUNNING AT LARGE OF DOGS."

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ORDERED FILED. SUPERVISOR LEONARD DECLARED THE HEARING OPEN TO ANYONE WISHING TO BE HEARD IN FAVOR OF OR OBJECTING TO THIS PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

SAMUEL HAYS, Esq., RIVERHEAD, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT HE WAS GENERALLY IN FAVOR OF THIS ORDINANCE BUT HE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT HAPPENS TO A LICENSED DOG AFTER SEIZURE DUE TO A VIOLATION OF THIS ORDINANCE.

TOWN ATTORNEY HARDING REPLIED THAT THE FEED AND CARE PROBLEM OF SEIZED LICENSED DOGS HAS YET TO BE DETERMINED.

SETH HUBBARD Esq., RIVERHEAD, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT HE REPRESENTED THE DUCK INDUSTRY GENERALLY AND THAT IT WAS IN FAVOR OF A LOCAL DOG ORDINANCE. HE FURTHER STATED THAT ORDINANCE APPEARED TO BE A REASONABLE ORDINANCE AND PEOPLE SHOULD USE REASONABLE CARE IN CONTROL OF THEIR DOGS.

MRS. THOMAS DEMKIN, RIVERHEAD, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT SHE WAS A DOG OWNER AND DOG LOVER BUT THAT DOG DAMAGE CLAIMS ON THEIR DUCK FARM WERE BECOMING TOO NUMEROUS AND ALSO AN AWFUL NUISANCE.

SHE FURTHER STATED THAT THEY WERE NOT BOTHERED PARTICULARLY WITH WILD DOGS BUT MAINLY WITH LICENSED PET DOGS. THESE DOGS KILL AND MAIN DUCKS NOT BECAUSE THEY ARE HUNGRY BUT MERELY FOR SPORT AND THE TOWN HAS TO PAY FOR THIS SPORT. HOWEVER, THEY ARE

NOT REIMBURSED FOR DUCKS THAT ARE SCRATCHED AND, THEREFORE, THEY LOSE PROFIT ON THESE DUCKS DUE TO LOSS IN MARKET VALUE OR CONDEMNATION AT THE DUCK PLANT. THEN TOO, DOGS, BY GETTING IN THE DUCK PENS, FRIGHTEN BREEDER DUCKS REDUCING THEIR PRODUCTIVITY.

THE TOWN ASSESSORS APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT A DOG ORDINANCE WAS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY FOR THE CONTROL OF DOGS, AS THERE WAS TOO MUCH DOG DAMAGE AND IT TAKES A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF THEIR TIME TO ADJUST DOG DAMAGE CLAIMS.

GEORGE SCHMELTZER, RIVERHEAD, APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD AND STATED THAT HE COULD SEE NO VALUE IN A DOG ORDINANCE AND THAT HE WAS NOT IN FAVOR OF IT.

CHIEF OF POLICE GRODSKI STATED THAT DOG BITE COMPLAINTS ARE A MAJOR HEADACHE TO HIS DEPARTMENT.

ACOMMUNICATION DATED 3/19/60 FROM LOIS DICKIE, RIVERHEAD, WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD IN WHICH SHE COMPLAINED ABOUT DOGS THAT FRIGHTENED HER CHILD WHILE WALKING TO SCHOOL.

SHE WISHED THAT SOMETHING COULD BE DONE TO CONTROL LOOSE DOGS.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

A COMMUNICATION DATED 4/4/60 FROM LESLIE H. GROUT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD IN WHICH HE RECOMMENDED THE ADOPTION OF A DOG ORDINANCE.

COMMUNICATION ORDERED FILED.

NO ONE ELSE WISHING TO BE HEARD AND NO OTHER COMMUNICATIONS HAVING BEEN RECEIVED, SUPERVISOR LEONARD DECLARED THE HEARING CLOSED.

COUNCILMAN STOTZKY OFFERED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SECONDED BY JUSTICE ZALOGA.

WHEREAS, NOTICE OF HEARING UPON AN ORDINANCE, KNOWN AS PROPOSED ORDINANCE "RESTRAINING THE RUNNING AT LARGE OF DOGS", No. 29, WAS GIVEN BY THE PUBLICATION OF A NOTICE IN THE NEWS REVIEW, THE OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER CIRCULATING IN THE TOWN, SPECIFYING THE TIME WHEN AND THE PLACE WHERE SUCH HEARING WAS TO BE HELD AND IN GENERAL TERMS DESCRIBING THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE, AND

WHEREAS, SUCH NOTICE WAS PUBLISHED ONCE AT LEAST 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE DAY SPECIFIED FOR SUCH HEARING, AS SHOWN BY AFFIDAVITS PRESENTED, AND

WHEREAS, A PUBLIC HEARING UPON SUCH PROPOSED ORDINANCE "RESTRAINING THE RUNNING AT LARGE OF DOGS" HAVING BEEN HELD IN THE TOWN HALL ON THE 5TH DAY OF APRIL, 1960, AT 11:15 A.M. ON SAID DAY, AND

WHEREAS, EVERYONE DESIRING TO BE HEARD IN SAID MATTER HAVING BEEN HEARD,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCE BE ADOPTED:

ORDINANCE NO. 29-RESTRAINING THE RUNNING AT LARGE OF DOGS

SECTION 1. NO PERSON OWNING, HARBORING, OR HAVING THE CONTROL OR CUSTODY OF A DOG SHALL PERMIT SUCH DOG:

- (A) WITHOUT BEING MUZZLED TO RUN AT LARGE IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD ELSEWHERE THAN ON THE PREMISES OF SUCH PERSON OR ON THE PREMISES OF ANOTHER PERSON WITH THE CONSENT OF SUCH OTHER PERSON, UNLESS SUCH PERSON IS ENGAGED IN HUNTING WILD BIRDS OR ANIMALS WITH THE AID OF SUCH DOG DURING OPEN SEASONS OR UNLESS SUCH PERSON SHALL TAKE SUCH DOG AFIELD FOR TRAINING IN HUNTING.
- (B) WITHOUT BEING MUZZLED TO BE AT ANYTIME ON ANY STREET, HIGHWAY, PARK OR PUBLIC PLACE IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD UNLESS FULLY CONTROLLED BY THE OWNER OR SOME OTHER PERSON BY MEANS OF A ROPE, LEASH OR OTHER SIMILAR DEVICE.
- (C) TO BE TAKEN INTO ANY ESTABLISHMENT IN THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD WHERE FOOD PRODUCTS ARE KEPT FOR SALE IF LEGIBLE SIGNS ARE DISPLAYED AT EACH PUBLIC ENTRANCE TO SUCH ESTABLISHMENT THAT THE ENTRY OF DOGS IS PROHIBITED; OR TO BE TAKEN UPON OR IN ANY OTHER ESTABLISHMENT WHERE FOOD OR FOOD PRODUCTS ARE KEPT FOR SALE UNLESS SUCH DOG IS EFFECTIVELY RESTRAINED BY A ROPE, LEASH OR OTHER SIMILAR DEVICE.

SECTION 2: THE PERSON WHO HAS LAST OBTAINED THE ISSUANCE OF A LICENSE FOR A DOG PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE AGRICULTURE AND MARKETS LAW, OR ANY SIMILAR LAW, SHALL BE PRESUMED FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS ORDINANCE, TO BE THE OWNER OF SUCH DOG.

SECTION 3. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS ORDINANCE, THE WORD "PERSON" INCLUDES AN INDIVIDUAL, ANY COMBINATION OF INDIVIDUALS OR A CORPORATION.

SECTION 4. ANY VIOLATION OF THIS ORDINANCE WILL BE DEEMED AN OFFENSE AND SHALL BE PUNISHABLE BY A FINE NOT EXCEEDING TWENTY-FIVE (\$25.00) DOLLARS FOR EACH OFFENSE.

FURTHER RESOLVED, THAT THE TOWN CLERK ENTER SAID ORDINANCE IN THE MINUTES OF THE TOWN BOARD AND THAT THE TOWN CLERK BE AND HE HEREBY IS DIRECTED TO GIVE PUBLIC NOTICE IN THE NEWS-REVIEW, THE OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER PUBLISHED IN THE TOWN, AND A PRINTED COPY THEREOF POSTED ON THE SIGN BOARD MAINTAINED BY THE TOWN CLERK PURSUANT TO SUBDIVISION SIX OF SECTION THIRTY OF THE TOWN LAW.

THE VOTE--COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES, AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

THE TOWN BOARD CONVENEED AS A BOARD OF AUDIT AND EXAMINED ALL BILLS TO DATE, THE TOTALS OF WHICH WAS AS FOLLOWS: GENERAL TOWN--\$6,183.23 AND MACHINERY FUND--\$8,091.50. ON MOTION MADE BY COUNCILMAN STOTZKY AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN BELL, IT WAS RESOLVED THAT THE BILLS BE APPROVED AS RENDERED. THE VOTE--COUNCILMAN BELL, YES, COUNCILMAN STOTZKY, YES, JUSTICE PIKE, YES, JUSTICE ZALOGA, YES AND SUPERVISOR LEONARD, YES. THE RESOLUTION WAS THEREUPON DECLARED DULY ADOPTED.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS ON MOTION AND VOTE, THE MEET-ADJOURNED TO MEET ON TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 1960 AT 9:30 A.M.

Anthony F. Gadzinski
ANTHONY F. GADZINSKI, TOWN CLERK