

Minutes of a Public Hearing held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at Town Hall, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York, on Tuesday, September 23, 2004, at 10:30 a.m.

Present:

Philip Cardinale,	Supervisor
Edward Densieski,	Councilman
Barbara Blass,	Councilwoman
Rose Sanders,	Councilwoman
George Bartunek,	Councilman

Also Present:

Barbara Grattan,	Town Clerk
Dawn Thomas, Esq.,	Town Attorney

Supervisor called the public hearing to order.

---

Public Hearing opened: 10:30 a.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: Ok, this is the September 23 Public Hearing commencing at 10:30 in regard to the uh- to the remaining items of the Commercial and Industrial Zoning Code not heard on September 13<sup>th</sup> which require Public hearing and which will complete the public Hearings for the implementation of the um Industrial and Commercial Zoning Code to complete the Master Plan, or to implement the master plan. And they are, uh, they are Manufacturers Outlet Zoning Use District Mapped area uh, the next one is Professional Business District Mapped area and the first instance on Manufacturers, it's um, replacing or is to the exclusion of the Industrial A zoning as depicted on the map, and the second instance is the Professional Business Zone is the Zone as mapped and advertised which is to the exclusion of what is currently CR, RA-40, RB-40 and Business C Zoning Use Districts. In addition to those two districts, PB and Manufacturers outlet, there are, there is a third hearing um, matter which is commencing at 10:30, which is the Local Law to Amend Chapter 108 entitled, "Zoning" to provide for the attached definitions. And, finally a fourth matter a Local Law to Amend Chapter 108 entitled, "Zoning" to provide for the new bulk schedule that applies to all the new Commercial and Industrial Zoning. So, it being 10:39, the hearing schedule for 10:30 public hearing is open for public comment on any of those four matters. Larry Oxman.

Larry Oxman: Uh, Larry Oxman. Before I get into the meat of this, I am concerned so that we dot our i's and cross our t's, is everything, I just went down to the Town Clerk, there is no copy of the bulk schedule available for public viewing. Are there maps-

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, don't say that because we have a copy right here in the room-

Larry Oxman: "Um-

Barbara Grattan: "When did you go?"

Larry Oxman: "Just two minutes, two seconds ago....yes."

Barbara Grattan: "I've got copies of these - they came from my office."

Larry Oxman: "OK"

Barbara Grattan, "So, uh,

Larry Oxman, "So I mean,

Barbara Grattan: "I'll call them right now."

Larry Oxman: "...so that no one can criticize this procedure. That is all I am suggesting, and that if there are maps on the wall, or whatever just so that what we are doing is procedurally correct."

Barbara Grattan: "Ok"

Supervisor Cardinale: If you will, um, return, first of all, if you want the bulk schedule, we have one right here we can give you. But if you want to go back to the clerk's office, it is in fact in there."

Larry Oxman: "I just want to see what is advertised- for consistency and

Supervisor Cardinale: "But, but do you want it...do you have it? Well, if you want it, you can go back to the Clerk's Office and grab it because it is available..."

Barbara Grattan: "Larry, I just instructed her to make copies....that is where I got mine from.."

Supervisor Cardinale: "If you do not have one, Larry, why don't you grab one and then we'll let you come back and make your comments"

Councilwoman Blass: On the point of the bulk schedule, um....

Supervisor Cardinale: "Um, Larry, it's going to be the one advertised, now, there was another one subsequent to the initial version, and prior to the hearing there have been suggestions made by people as to changes. Barbara has also received that, but that's not what you are going to find I the Clerk's office, you are going to find the one that was advertised...."

Larry Oxman: "(inaudible) procedurally so that (inaudible...)"

Supervisor Cardinale: "You have to comment on what was advertised, we have in fact, Barbara has in her hand the one that you and others have suggested would be an improvement over what we are proposing, ok? And, so, if you want to go over that on the record, you are more than welcome to."

Larry Oxman: "(inaudible)"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Ok, why don't you go get it and come on back"

Councilwoman Blass: "(inaudible)...and the proposed changes for the record"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Oh, and we are going to put the proposed changes as part of the record, that you have suggested and others have suggested to us. So then, when you are taking, it'll be part of the record. Thank you."

Councilwoman Blass: "Just so that everyone is aware of where this um, came from uh, there were a couple of meetings between Larry, Marty Sendlewski and myself and uh planning individuals uh, over the course of the last week or so to make some modifications- suggested modifications to the bulk schedule. Some of them are not controversial at all and to the extent that they further clarify uh the uh schedule itself, I think they are great ideas- anything that looks highlighted are additions and a for example adding columns- what your percentage coverage is within the Sewer District, outside of the Sewer District, with and without TDR's. Uh, that's something that was in the text, but before, if it's on this bulk schedule um, it just makes for easier, easier reference points, and then the other specifics I think we can probably discuss as we go along."

That's where this came from.

Supervisor Cardinale: "Do all the board members have a, the a, notices and the map or the text accompanying the notice? Cause I had asked Rick to get them and bring them in."

Councilwoman Blass: "I don't"

Supervisor Cardinale: Eric, could you check with him? If he has gone to China, or if he is really going to bring these four copies. Shouldn't take long, its eight pages. Yeah, just what he gave me earlier- he did not make copies for the board, and they like to be included, you know? I have to get along with the board members. Without two more votes, we aren't going anywhere...Ok, so that..I keep remembering that Ed, I keep remembering that- every time I want to say something um, less than um...what shall we say...less than..."

Councilman Bartunek: "Complementary"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Complementary, I always say, I always hold myself with my board members because it's, it's beneficial to do so"

Councilwoman Blass: "And now we have a big black box so if you say something bad you can go right in the big black box."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That is actually not...."

Councilman Densieski: "The time out rule."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That is not Mill Slav Milloslovic; he is not in that box..it is actually the beginning of our cable, Cablevision direct feed equipment so that we can photograph or cable broadcast in real time to the public. Um, ok. It's coming; it really is coming as....there we go. I knew you'd not let me down Collation is..."

Councilwoman Blass: "(inaudible)"

Supervisor Cardinale: "We're supposed to get four."

Councilwoman Blass: "Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Ok, is Larry back? Did you want to comment initially, or did you want to review that and then come up? I can pull somebody up. Any other comment? Marty, if you have a comment, come up. You two a tag team, or what? Marty and Larry- I think they sleep here. We've had a lot of hearings the last few days on zoning and we

see them at every one.

Martin Sendlewski: “Thank you, Martin Sendlewski. Um, First off, I’d think it really is important to complement the entire board as far as how this process is going involving the public. Um, there is a very important reason for it and I think reviewing this chart is representative of how important this is. Um, just for the record so that you are aware of it, the schedule that is currently on the Town’s website is the September 3<sup>rd</sup> schedule which is not the same schedule that is in the public notice. Um, so that anyone who is looking at the website thinking that you know, any concerns about what is on this schedule does not match what was in the paper.

Supervisor Cardinale: “It was posted on September 3<sup>rd</sup>?”

Martin Sendlewski: “No, well the website, the website schedule dated September 3<sup>rd</sup> is different than the one published in the paper. It was the previous draft version. “

Supervisor Cardinale: “Ok, we’ll make sure that that is corrected. Is John in the room? Stefans? Could you make sure that is corrected?”

Martin Sendlewski: “Um, also the schedule that was posted in the paper, just through the process because of so much going on with this, when it was printed out, some of the footnotes aren’t printed completely so it’s actually has, there is a lot of uh ‘glitches’ let’s say for lack of a better term with regard to the schedule that was posted in the paper. A lot of the bottom sentences are not complete, the references of some of the bottom sentences- the footnotes aren’t on the actual schedule itself where they should be. So that, you know, the schedule really had a lot of issues um, as far as how it was published and how it currently stands.

Supervisor Cardinale: “Would you also check with News Review? We aren’t paying for schedules that aren’t printed as indicated to them and they should reprint it if they have done it wrong.

Councilwoman Blass: (inaudible)

Martin Sendlewski: Yeah, somehow its got mixed up however, I don’t know. But, in either case, I think this process is so good and I think the Town Board is doing the right thing. N Uh, with these comments and with Larry’s recommendation at the previous hearing that with this being sort of the ‘guts’ of the zoning in terms of dimension and (inaudible) ratio, etc, that it would be good for an additional draft of it so actually what the draft of it that Larry had put together, the highlighted areas are the areas that are different from what’s in the paper. So, what’s highlighted is the revisions or the corrections and then massaging of this chart that doesn’t appear in the paper. Aside from this on one of

the other public hearings which does relate back to this is that the, the posting for the F, Factor Outlet Zone, for the Dries property, um, on that map it, it also refers to the 'F' Zone on the map as an overlay zone. The 'F' Zone is not intended to be an overlay zone anymore- it's actually a mapped zone. Um, therefore, with the "F" zone being a mapped zone, it has to be added to this chart. So, one of the things that you'll see is the bottom line, which is highlighted, is the Business F, Manufacturers Outlet, with the dimensional regulations that meet the current dimensional regulations for the F Zone. The only thing that is not in here is an increase in the uh, with regard to the TDR. Because it's not identified in the TDR section of the Code that is being adopted that the F Zone could be a receiving area and I don't know if that is the intent of the Board to include it or not to include it. But in either case right now the way the F Zone was handled it was, I think it was a certain percentage as of right if you were out of the Sewer District and it increased to a percentage and I don't know- what was it again- I think it may be 30, if you were in the Sewer District. Um, which seems like it would make more sense if it went consistent with the other zones 15-20 which was recommended in the Master Plan and then above 20 have an increase with the purchase of TDR's. I don't know if that is something you might want to consider. But in either case, um, right now that was a very important thing that was missing from the code and if that is the case I'm wondering if the map that identifies the F Zone, the Factory Outlet Zone, should include the Tanger Property because right now Tanger exists as an overlay zone. So, meaning the underlying zoning of Tanger is still Industrial A, with the F laid on top of it, and I think if it's going to be a map zone, I would think that all of it should be identified on the zoning code as an actual zone- the way it's intended to be. That was one thing that was fairly important. The other things I know Larry is gonna come up and review a lot of the items on here. Uh, the only one of note on here, uh, that I think is important is on the um, the first line, the DC1 Main Street and then going down through the Business CR. The changes that were recommended here that we would like the Board to consider is basically changes in the F.A.R. And the reason being is that- I'll give you one example- on the DC1 the maximum lot coverage is 80%. And you're allowed to obtain five stories. So if you take the .8 times five, equals an FAR 4, which makes complete sense. The current one was 3.5. So it just seemed, with the adjustments here, if they were geared toward single story or multiple level buildings, the F.A.R should match sort of the calculations of the lot coverage based on the number of levels. And those are the only comments that I have at this time.

Supervisor Cardinale: Thank you Martin. And I know if we need any clarification on that I know Barbara or George will get back to you. Any other comments on regard to these four matters? Yes, Larry.

Larry Oxman: Larry Oxman. Yes, everything is available for viewing at the Clerk's Office. And I have them in front of me, so any interested party on this matter could certainly get the same information. Uh, the chart that um, has the highlights on it that I think Barbara may have handed out, um, was done with respect with just trying to make it

as simple as possible or clear as possible. Um, and how the figures in the shaded area were derived were by going back to the comprehensive, adopted comprehensive plan, the town, the previous uh, previous adopted plan by the town board, excuse me, planning board and then the recommendations by the consultants to see that it was consistent. So these are not my recommendations because I think that I would like them to be a little bit higher than they are, but that's on a personal note. But, just so that this reflects accurately what is in the adopted plan, the key changes, which I believe the board is open to is in the Business, the BC, the SC, and the DRC, and that was that the BC and the SC according to the adopted plan, was a 15% FAR, without sewer. And 20% with sewer. SO I suggested that we make two separate columns rather than having to refer down to footnotes so that it is explicit as to what one can do whether they are in the Sewer District or not in the Sewer District. With respect to the Destination Retail, I think that that had been increased um, in what was advertised or in a previous chart. And, again, the adopted plan although I would like to see it higher the adopted plan in fairness to that doctor ant called for a 10% outside of sewer, and 15% inside of Sewer. If you then move over several columns, under FAR, you'll see that there is a 3<sup>rd</sup> column that was added that talks about the permitted increase in density if one were to purchase transfer of development rights. For the BC and the SC, these together the suggestion was that they could be increased 50 %. So, therefore, if you were outside of the Sewer, you could increase it from the 15% in the BC and the SC an additional 7.5%, which would be 22.5%, if you were inside the Sewer, then it would be 20% and then an additional 50%, which would be 10, so for a total of 30. The differences that the DRC as per the plan, contemplated 100% increase in density, so one was going from 10 outside of the sewer, to 20% with the Development Rights, or 15% within the Sewer to a total of 30% within the sewer with the addition of development rights. (inaudible) so these were the major changes to reflect the plan and as Marty Sendlewski has just said that Business F was not included in the adopted Master Plan because there really weren't, I guess, changes contemplated. So that was included in this chart. And um, Industrial A has changed now to Industrial IC and that's it for comments on the bulk schedule. I think that um, I can say that this document reflects what was in the Master Plan, the comprehensive Master Plan, and is not my opinion as I would like it to be or the way other groups would like it to be. This is consistent with the plan. So-

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you, Larry. Appreciate it your making it clear that your additions are clarifications are making it consistent and clear consistent with the Plan. (inaudible)"

Councilwoman Blass: "Can I just add something to that? I think that it is important for the board that we still need to make a determination as to whether we want to consider Business F as a receiving zone."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I had picked that up- I am glad you brought that up because I had picked that up from the earlier comment, and I have noted that as two things we have to

do- is that if we want F Zone to be receiving and if we want the F Zone over Tanger, which is apparently is not now.

Councilwoman Blass: “Well, I don’t know what you mean by that.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “What I mean..”

Councilwoman Blass: “It is an overlay zone, we’re mapping it now.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “But, the point that Marty was making, and I haven’t looked at the map yet, but the map that you’ve got attached is that inclusive of the Tanger zone, because if it isn’t, that is still going to have an Industrial A underlying...”

Councilwoman Blass: “No, it doesn’t read that way. Rick can probably explain.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “Rick, can we see if we can clarify that.”

Rick Hanley: “I think the confusion is in the title of the district. It’s called an overlay district. However, when Tanger I and Tanger II were approved, they actually mapped on the zoning map of the Town as Business F. So all we are depicting today on that map is any changes...”

Supervisor Cardinale: “In the new Business F.”

Rick Hanley: “Right.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “So that question is then resolves that we don’t need to do anything to incorporate Tanger because it already is Business F.”

Rick Hanley: “Yes.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “And, as far as the issue which does need some discussion is the F Zone whether we want to take that as a receiving district, right?”

Rick Hanley: “Right.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “And at what proportion..”

Councilwoman Blass: “Correct.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “...would it receive.”

Councilwoman Blass: “Right.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “Yes, Marty, further comment?”

Martin Sendlewski: “Yes, with regard to the F Zone, um, and a, I’m sure Rick can check this to make sure that there are no glitches with the set-backs that were listed here. One thing that is specific and that has to be um, I think included by this board with regard to the F Zone is that the current overlay zoning for the F Zone was originally set up as an overlay and required a 25 acre minimum site. Since then, as you’re well aware, and as promised to Mr. Dries by the board at a work session, when the Dries site plan was approved as an F Zone for the parcel that is being rezoned in question, that zoning, that site plan was approved pending the zoning, which we are doing here.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “right”

Martin Sendlewski: “If this wasn’t included and there wasn’t very specific requirements regarding the dimensional setbacks and specifically the minimum lot size, the Dries-Specchio site is a little over 4 acres. So, as we had discussed this and considered incorporating it on the dimensional criteria, the 160 acres would be for builder acres. The reason that that was done that way is because if you, if it’s not done that way then it would, you would rezone the Dries property but to the exclusion of that provision of the code. So, I think that just has to be clear because it could be a sticking point later on, not necessarily with the Planning Department, or the Town Board but that could be a sticking point when we go to the Building Department for a permit and they say, “Well, you don’t have 25 acres.” And then they send us back, and we go through the whole thing again. We just want to make sure that what ever is adopted with regard to the chart here and the zoning, as well as any subsequent revision that must be considered for the current F Zone overlay as written in the code. Because I think we are going to have to go back and look at that section of the Code because, you know, there are provisions that will remain in the Code that would contradict this, because of the fact that that was written originally as an overlay zone, and I think it’s still termed an overlay zone. So I think that not only is there housekeeping here I think there may be a situation to go back to that section of the actual written code book and amend that consistent with this plan and with the zoning.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “I think I understand exactly what you are saying and I think we had the same issue with the residential. If you would come up, Rick, I just want to make sure that we understand it. We have the same issue which we have identified a few things that need to be- as he says, ‘housekeeping’ items that need to be corrected...”

Rick Hanley: “Yes,”

Supervisor Cardinale: “...and the residential section we passed in June. Maybe it would

be a good idea to identify them now so we can immediately follow; we are going to follow the residential with the 'housekeeping'. There will be, I guess, a public hearing with a resolution."

Rick Hanley: "Right."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And I guess what he is saying that that is going to have to happen here. Do you agree with that?"

Rick Hanley: "I think we are going to have to look at the commercial districts that are being retained and take a look at.."

Supervisor Cardinale: "He is particularly concerned, as I would be, if I were representing a client as he is an architect that we get that done quickly on the business F because we have a site plan that's actually first up."

Rick Hanley: "Yes, I know that the Town Attorney has already gone through the residential 'housekeeping' issues and it's just as soon as we are done with this that we can sit down with her and get it done."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And I guess, my point is I guess that some of the sections- this is not a text amendment, this is simply a map amendment."

Rick Hanley: "But, I think what Marty is concerned about is the dimensional requirements in the F Zone because the thought was (inaudible) for large parcels."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Right, that would require a text amendment which we have not yet noticed for public hearing. Could you put that top of the list?"

Rick Hanley: "Yes."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Because we have certainly delayed that particular applicant a long time and I don't have any desire to do so any further. Ok?"

Rick Hanley: "ok."

Councilwoman Blass: "Do I understand that we are going to have a hearing on a text amendment to Business F? Subsequent to this? IS that what we are saying?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes, this is a 'housekeeping' matter."

Rick Hanley: "We are going to look at it and see what problems are presented there. And,

if you are desirous of allowing rights to move into the Business F District then you are going to have to do one as well, so..."

Councilwoman Blass: "Because I would like to put on the record that originally when Business F was originally conceived, there was no other zone that provided for big box retail or minimum sized stores of 100,000 square feet, and that was included in this district, in addition to the manufacturers outlet use, and now that we have zone that specifically provide for big box visa via the DRC Zone.."

Rick Hanley: "Correct."

Councilwoman Blass: "I would like the board to consider amending the zone to exclude that from this particular zone. It might make some sense to some, but not to other, but I would like to throw that out there anyway for discussion."

Rick Hanley: "You want the issue vetted."

Councilwoman Blass: "Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Ok, Thanks. Larry."

Larry Oxman: "Larry Oxman. With regard to the Business F District, I'd like to expand on what Marty had said. With regard to the ability of that zone to receive transfer of development rights, I think that we had spoken about it being given an as-of-right coverage of 20% which would be consistent with BC and SC because I think that is that parcel was not surrounded by Tanger I and Tanger II that that would be the appropriate zone for it. It clearly is not- it would not be appropriate for Destination Retail. It could not retain a Big Box; it would only allow a certain amount of square footage. So to be consistent with the other zones, 20% seems quite appropriate. But therein, thereafter, the Business F, like all the other commercial districts, if they want to increase their coverage, that they too should be designated a receiving district, a sink for more Transfers of Development Rights to be utilized. Everyone in the community is feeling this up zoning be it residential property owners or commercial property owners, and I think that this is just appropriate that it's this fairness doctoran that we've consistently spoken about. So I think that that's important that the Business F be considered a receiving area also. Thank you.

Supervisor Cardinale: "Larry, just so I understand, you're lot coverage- you presently have- it is presently proposed in the Master Plan a 15% and 20%. Are you going to let us assume that we made this a receiving area?"

Larry Oxman: "Correct."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You would have to list the maximum percentage increase with TDR's."

Larry Oxman: "Yes, I left that blank."

Supervisor Cardinale: "what are you suggesting?"

Larry Oxman: "If you..."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It's 15 presently without sewer, and 20 with."

Larry Oxman: "yeah."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, what were you suggesting?"

Larry Oxman: "I think that 50% increase is probably fine because you get to a point that you probably couldn't even do a 50% increase from 20%, you probably could not ever have 40% retail or manufacturer's outlets on this site and provide for parking, some open space and other things. I think that 50% is probably quite adequate and we haven't reached the stage where Riverhead is going to have decked malls such a Roosevelt Field or Smithhaven Mall, and we can certainly address that when that arrives."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So you are suggesting that 50% in the max percentage increase with the TDR's."

Larry Oxman: "Yes,"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Councilwoman Blass: "Just so that we are clear the current code for Business F says that within the sewer district maximum allowable coverage is 30%."

Larry Oxman: "Correct."

Councilwoman Blass: "So, we are reducing it consistent with the others as (inaudible) is the point you are making."

Larry Oxman: "Correct, so that I don't think we are singling them out or that we are saying that that is a burden. Everyone is stepping up to the plate, and a..."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Right, similar to the residential situation where everyone was upped- their yield was halved."

Larry Oxman: “And commercial. Because, as for example, some of the industrial zoning right now that would permit office space- there is a lot of 40% coverage, and they are going down to 20%, and still permitted to do office. So, if they wanted to get back to that higher FAR or coverage, the only mechanism would be to buy development rights. So both the residential and the commercial sector I think is being impacted equally.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “Thank you. Yes, is there anybody else who wants to speak, I know Marty, you had another comment. Marty, come on up.”

Martin Sendlewski: “Just a couple of other quick items. On the Industrial, the IC, the Industrial Recreational, the, and the IA Industrial- for some reason, the height restriction, and it might be purposeful, I don't know why, but I just recommended that it might be kept as is. For some reason the height restriction was reduced to 28 feet. It was the only zone that dropped down to that height and in doing a lot of industrial projects, I don't understand why it would drop down to that height restriction because sometimes the height is very valuable to Industrial owners so, on here, it was indicated that would be retained at the 35 foot height. I don't know if there was an intent, and if there is a purpose to reduce it to 28 foot, so be it, but I wasn't sure what that was. Also, the last columns regarding side yards on the DC, I'm sorry, the HC, the VC and RLC, I don't- I just think that there is some information missing there. I'm really not too familiar with what the Master Plan said about those districts, but I think that has to be looked at because I'm sure that the RLC isn't a 0% side yard. And then also I just questioned why the DC 1, the Main Street District, now has a rear yard requirement of 10 feet. That wasn't on any previous zoning and I don't see the advantage of it, especially if we are looking to turn business, some businesses toward the river in the future, if you do that, and then say that they have to stay 10 feet off of that property line- I just don't understand the purpose of it. But, maybe that can be looked at again.”

Supervisor Cardinale: “Thank you. Comment from anyone else? We have as you know, four items. The Manufacturers Outlet, the Business F with the bulk schedule, the Professional Business District, and the several definitions that are going to be added. If there is, if there is no comment further, I am going to leave this, close the oral part of the hearing and leave it open for written comment and in fact, to the extent that that is easily done, I'd love to see some, Marty and Larry, if you can give us something in writing. It is less likely to be overlooked and I am going to ask that this recorded comments get to us as quickly as possible, Barbara, so we can review them. And if we see it twice, once in a letter and once in the comments, because some of them are very helpful, I am going to leave it open for five days for comment. What is it, the 23<sup>rd</sup>? And to the close of business on the 28<sup>th</sup>, I'd like to see any comment by that time, and if anyone has any letters or has any points to make, please make them because we are going to be working this tomorrow

from 1 to 5 in this room at that desk. We may also be coming in on Saturday and we are going to be meeting on Monday. And we are going to get as much as we can, get as much done as we can before the 30<sup>th</sup> consistent with doing this fairly and with all information necessary. We have all said, I know I have, that in order to avoid any moratoriums, and a disconnect that endures between the Master Plan that was passed in November and the implementation of the Zoning which was/will be completed completed initially in June, the residential and now the Commercial/Industrial we have to work harder and work nights and work weekends. So this will be our opportunity in the next week or so to prove that. I don't think we are going to get all these Districts passed on the 30<sup>th</sup>, but we will get every single one of them we can get, that we have gone through all the comments on.

Councilman Densieski: "Mr. Supervisor, I would like to recommend also that we work during business hours, and not just nights and Saturdays."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, all day long, Ed. But sometimes nights and Saturdays, too."

Councilwoman Blass: "Except, I must admit, Mr. Supervisor that normally I would be more than eager to give seven days a week, but, the last several months I couldn't possibly work any more than I am working during the week, day and night, and on my own, coming in on Saturdays as well to catch up on all the other business that I haven't been able to tend to because I have been dealing with this. So, respectfully I am going to watch my son's football game Saturday, even though he is sidelined with a broken arm."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You're allowed. Particularly, with you and George you can meet at your convenience, too, because you are working on the committee with him. So, anyway, we'll work hard, and get as much done as we can by the 30<sup>th</sup>. And tomorrow, at 1-5, we'll continue. And right now, we have to continue with Work Session, the ordinary work session so we'll resume. Thank you."

*Barbara Gutton*  
Town Clerk