

Minutes of a Town Board Meeting held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at Town Hall, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York, on Tuesday, December 17, 2002, at 2:00 p.m.

Present:

Robert Kozakiewicz,	Supervisor
Edward Densieski,	Councilman
James Lull,	Councilman
Barbara Blass,	Councilperson
Rose Sanders,	Councilperson

Also Present:

Barbara Grattan,	Town Clerk
Dawn Thomas, Esq.,	Town Attorney

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Let's call the Town Board meeting to order, the time being 2:04 p.m. Mitch, I guess I'm going to ask you- Mitch Freedman, lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance. He's in the front row."

(At this time, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited, led by Mitch Freedman)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That being done, is there a motion to approve the minutes of the Town Board meeting of December 3rd and the Special Town Board meeting of December 6, 2002?"

Councilman Densieski: "I'll move it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is there a second?"

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved by Councilman Densieski; seconded by Councilman Lull. Vote, please."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The minutes are approved."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Barbara, Reports, please."

REPORTS :

Building Department Monthly report for November
total collected was
\$109,139.50

Juvenile Aid Bureau Monthly report for November

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Reports."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Applications."

APPLICATIONS :

Site Plan Riverhead Congregation of
Jehovah's Witnesses

Site Plan Lin Zhang

Site Plan Amended plan of Riverhead Ford

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Applications."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. Correspondence."

CORRESPONDENCE :

Robert and Linda Loscalzo Regarding Little Flower
Children's Services - they're
not in favor of it

Petition 57 residents from Herod Point
Estates

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Correspondence. Short
agenda."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Wow. Are there any Committee
Reports?"

Councilman Densieski: "Bob, I don't have a Committee report but
I would just like to wish everybody a safe and happy holiday season."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I want to bring up one thing. We're going to be taking up later in our resolutions, hopefully, a resolution that has, I believe, made it out there for consideration. It was discussed, it didn't make it into the original packet. Nothing controversial. No cause for alarm. It's going to be Resolution 1291 which will be rescheduling our final wrap up the year Town Board meeting which had been originally set for December 26, 2002 to December 30, which is Monday, to commence at 10:30 a.m. That's there and that's been done because of some holiday scheduling conflicts.

That is the only announcement I have. I do want to wish everybody also a happy and safe holiday season.

Since our first Town Board hearing time has not arrived, that being 2:10, if there's someone who wishes to come up and speak for three minutes on any subject, you are more than free to do so. Yes, come on up, sir. Your name and address for the record."

George Clark: "Good afternoon. George Clark, Wading River. And Happy Holidays to everyone here, too."

Councilwoman Blass: "Thank you, same to you."

George Clark: "I see on your- on the docket we have for the Great Rock Golf Course, for the amended site plan. I- just as a question, on redoing the site plan, do they have the option of doing pieces of that revision and holding off on others, or do they have to do this whole site plan in a timely fashion? I- there's a number of different things that they have added and what I am mainly concerned with, as you know, is the 18th tee. Can they do all the other things they'd like to do with this halfway house and everything else and kind of hold this- fixing this 18th tee on the side or does everything have to be done quickly or in a timely- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It would be contrary to their interest to do so because the longer they delay, the longer they are held back on certain things that they can be considered for including a final CO on the property. So it would be against their interest to do so, Mr. Clark. They should, I would think, be of the mind set and it would be in their pecuniary interest and in their benefit to move everything along as quickly as possible to receive their final approvals from the town and then be able to move forward. So, can they? Yes. But would they, you know, would it be wise? I don't think so."

George Clark: "Okay. Thank you very much and Happy Holidays to

you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Same to you."

Councilwoman Blass: "Same to you. Thanks, Mr. Clark."

Councilman Densieski: "Same to you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Art Binder."

Art Binder: "Good afternoon, members of the Board. My name is Art Binder. I live in Baiting Hollow. And I'm here in regards to a potential zone change on Youngs Avenue.

My opposition to a change of zone from Agricultural to Industrial is quite known. I have voiced my opinion vocally before this Town Board and via (inaudible) pieces in both the New York Times and local print media. Not only is there a long history of opposition to this change within the local community directly affected by it, but opposition has been rising in other parts of the area from groups and individuals calling to assist in any way they can with both personal expertise and funding to launch litigation proceedings should this travesty occur.

Youngs Avenue is named for a very special family, a founding family of our beautiful north fork. Had many of us been living here 50 years ago, the prior landfill would never have been allowed to have been located there. Now we're faced with a very similar scenario. The re-desecration of a road named in honor of the Young family whose contribution to the agricultural community cannot be denied, is both immoral and uncalled for.

I call for all farmers, everyone connected to agriculture as I am myself, to lock arms with their neighbors and step up to the plate and say, we don't rezone agricultural land to industrial land. Vote no to the zone change.

Thank you. And have a very merry holiday."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Councilwoman Blass: "Same to you, Mr. Binder."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The time of 2:10 p.m. having arrived, Barbara, I would ask you to read the affidavits of publishing and posting, please."

Public Hearing opened: 2:10 p.m.

Barbara Grattan: "I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall, Riverhead, New York on December 17, 2002, at 2:10 p.m. regarding the increase and improvements of the Water District regarding the installation of a new supply well within the district to be known as Well 11-2. Estimated cost of the installation being \$960,000 to be paid from existing district funds."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kelleher."

Dennis Kelleher: "Good afternoon. My name is Dennis Kelleher from the consulting engineering firm of H2M. We are the engineers for the Riverhead Water District.

Over the last several years, the Riverhead Water District has experienced an increase in the water demand on a year to year basis. This demand- or this increase in water use can be attributed to both additional water use from the residents within the Riverhead Water District, plus the demand created by the additional developments that have been added from time to time, to increases outside the district.

This increased demand justifies the need for the installation of a new supply well to serve the district.

As the Board is aware, the district is currently constructing a new supply well known as 11-1 at the former Grumman Calverton property along Route 25. This is by the old Grumman picnic grounds.

Upon completion of 11-1, there will be a new well site with sufficient water treatment and emergency electrical facilities. The well that has already been drilled at the site is of excellent water quality and the site is of sufficient size to house a second well.

So the most cost effective solution for the Riverhead Water District to construct an additional well, would be to construct it at this site, an existing site, rather than going to a new site.

What we're proposing as part of this project is to construct the second well, 11-2, which would include the drilling of a deep well

approximately 255 feet deep which would have a capacity of approximately 13080 gallons per minute. We would also need to construct a small pumphouse approximately 20 by 20- 20 feet by 20 feet in size and- which would house both the well and the electrical controls.

A new secondary electrical feed would have to run from the existing well, 11-1 building that is under construction, and we would need to install a motor control center starter and instrumentation for the second well. Some additional mechanical equipment and piping would be necessary including some chemical feed equipment for the treatment of the water.

There would also be some miscellaneous site piping and site restoration once the work is done.

We estimate the- actually, the location of this well would be approximately 100 feet from the existing well that is drilled, 11-1.

We estimate the cost of constructing this well, 11-2, including construction costs, engineering, inspection and contingencies at a total cost of \$960,000. The district has sufficient funds in their repair and maintenance reserve account to finance this project without going through bonding.

This reserve account is funded by the key money that the district collects when any developer or anybody wishes to connect to the Riverhead Water District.

Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I didn't- I was not- a little bit distracted. How- what was the distance from this well, 11-1 from 11-2? I mean 11-2 from 11-1?"

Dennis Kelleher: "It's approximately- 100 feet apart."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "One hundred feet. Okay. All right. Thank you. Anybody else who would like to be heard with respect to the proposed improvements to- or proposed plant or well 11-2? Mr. Kasperovich."

William Kasperovich: "Does anybody else want to- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't see anybody else's hand, so- "

William Kasperovich: "William Kasperovich from Wading River. Brings to mind many years ago when I was looking over some new construction work in wells and piping and I found some items that were not correct and had to be changed. Fortunately it was done before- wouldn't have to rip out any new work. And the following day when I stopped in at the office, I was informed- that is the Water Department office, I was informed that Mr. Janoski left explicit orders that I was not to set foot on the premises of the Water Department, anywhere in the township, at any time. And so since then I haven't been able to get to see first hand what the Water Department is doing. I have to take this catch as catch can.

But when we come to a million dollar expenditure, I certainly thought somebody else would be up here to ask questions or say things. But here's a million dollars and if you want to quibble about forty thousand short of a million, it's still a million bucks. And no matter what it's for in a township this size, we can look at every dot and dash and what have you.

Not too far from this site over in Shoreham, a private water company built the largest well in Long Island. They had it filed with the Health Department, with the DEC, all over. And I had gone to look for these papers to see what they did and all I could find out was that it's the largest well in Long Island. Now, not to make a long story, after the well got in operation, in short order there was a surface drain down- not a surface, a groundwater drain down, that pulled in all kinds of contaminants and they had to shut the well down. Now, this is not that far away and certainly those people didn't invest that kind of money without checking things out any more than H2M has checked things out in locating this well.

But at the same time, two wells to me is close to each other. There's no mention of a test for groundwater draw down. When you have an exceptionally large pipe- well or two wells close in reasonable proximity of the geographic terrain or geology, a test well is put in to determine the draw down when the pumps are in operation. That I thought was standard procedure in the water works world but in Riverhead, H2M doesn't seem to recommend such things.

I, being apparently more conservative than H2M, look for these things.

As you gather, I, not knowing any more than what I have read in the resolution, am skeptical and I am not particularly in favor for--

have no way to know and in some areas it's no business of mine as to whether all aspects of the addition of a new well have been done into.

I have at several times expressed that the sewage department should have their own well. They don't need treated water. They could use water closer to the surface and don't need that large a pump. We are selling currently approximately 18 to 20,000 gallons per day of treated water to the sewer department. This would turn loose that much water in the say relatively speaking, the downtown area sort to say. Not in the west end, but in the mid section of the township.

Now, this would be a lesser cost. It would bring immediate added water to a tight area and I don't know whether the aspects of this alternative has been considered when we're thinking of spending a million dollars.

As you could see, you don't have to be an engineer to anything to know that the water that you need in the sewer department doesn't have to be chlorinated or treated or filtered and if there's a slight amount of contamination, it's still going into the sewer-sewage system.

In the resolution, the actual location of the new well is not spelled out so I just had to take it, play it by ear and assume about that's where it's going to be.

If the accounting has shown that we can handle a million dollar project and all we'll be getting is an increased capacity, it's my recommendation that we take half of that and fill in or adjust, modify it, correct different parts of the water system that we have been holding off as minimum adequate and this could give us a more up to date, more sophisticated control, and it would be for the best of the township.

The dry spells we've had were few and far between and since then, the last dry spell, we haven't really- we didn't get into any serious troublesome degree of shortness of water but with the new piping, the wells, now we operate about 10 wells in this township. Some are old wells that have been serviced and adjusted, some have been replaced. The 10 wells that are running in the township are running in good condition and no foreseeable problems, but you give the water department a half million dollars to update the tight spots or the spots where they were put in- where things were difficult, we could then have on the overall picture a better system.

I grant you that I can't speak as authoritatively as the man

representing H2M, that's his bread and butter, that's what he thinks and that's his judgment. On the other hand, I don't see anybody else in this township that gets up to peruse through the papers and to follow through on what is good for the township in regards to water. So I'm here doing my best."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Thank you. Anybody else who would like to address the Board? Sal Mastropolo."

Sal Mastropolo: "Sal Mastropolo, Calverton. I wasn't ready to talk about this, but since Bill brought it up, it left me with a lot of questions. Okay?"

First of all, what is the depth of well 11-1, number one. Number two, will 11-2 draw off the same aquifer as 11-1 and possibly have a negative impact on 11-1? If they're only 100 feet apart and a building to house is going to be 22 by 22, could 11-2 use the same building that 11-1 uses, okay, and save the cost of construction of the building? What's the break out of the expense, the nine hundred and ninety something thousand dollars along the lines of the well, the building and the engineering? Okay? So we can determine whether we really need to put up a second building since they're only going to be roughly 78 feet apart if one building is 22 and the well is 100 feet apart. So it would be as close as 78 feet.

One other question is, is 255, I think that was the number that he quoted, deep enough for a drinking water well? I was under the impression that the wells in the town, particularly the ones that we use for drinking water, are as deep as 1,000 feet.

Those are my questions. And if he'd like to answer them, I'd appreciate it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Thank you. Dennis."

Dennis Kelleher: "There's a lot of good questions there. I'll see if I can answer them one at a time."

In working backwards, the- will there be an impact on the second well on the first well? There will be a slight impact. When the- when one well is running, there is what's called a draw down. Right now, if we dug at that site, I think the water table is approximately 40 feet below grade. Once the first well kicks on and starts putting out 13080 gallons per minute, there's what's called a draw down. The water table in the vicinity around the well actually goes down like a

cone shape. It will drop down approximately, I think, 60 or 70 feet.

One hundred feet away, if we were to drill the second well and turn that second well on, the first well, that groundwater level which is now, has (inaudible) on from, you know, 40 feet- the groundwater table goes from 40 feet down to say 100, it will drop probably another five feet. It will go to 105 feet. So there is a compounding effect on- because you are withdrawing from the same aquifer. The depth of that first well is approximately 250 feet. So they are going to be what we call screened at the same level.

When we drilled the first well, we actually did go deeper. We did a test well. We went deeper below a clay lens. There's a clay lens, a thick layer of clay right at about 260 feet. We actually drilled through that the first time in the test well. We sampled the water quality deeper and found that it was not a high water quality. We had an iron problem. So we did not want to take that water so we backed the screen up and we set it just above the clay. So we feel there is no problem with drilling a second well. It is common across Long Island. There are probably over 300 locations where there are two wells of approximately this size, are located about 100 feet apart. So it is common. But we do have to plan for it. That's so when we set our well pump we know about that combined effect of the deepening of the groundwater table.

A couple of other questions that were raised, there were construction costs. I could quickly just redo the breakdown that was in a letter presented to the town. Drilling the well, \$250,000. The well pump, \$50,000. The pump station building and associated equipment, approximately \$200,000. The electrical work, \$150,000. Site piping and site work, \$50,000. Mechanical work related to water treatment, \$50,000. Design, construction administration, \$85,000. Inspection, \$50,000. Contingencies and legal, \$75,000, for a total of \$960,000.

To answer the question on could we squeeze all the equipment into the building that's being constructed right now? The answer is no. That was- actually, even if we were to build- design this and build it at the same time, we always need a small building sitting over the well. That is the typical design on Long Island because we have electrical equipment, the actual motor, the 200 horsepower motor, that has to sit right on top of the well, needs to be housed. So we need to have some type of structure. So we find it most cost effective to make the building, rather than making it an eight by eight shed, we go

to a 20 by 20 and we now take the electrical controls and stick it in that building also. It's better for that- for those electrical controls to be very close to that 200 horsepower motor rather than to leave it in the old building.

So we did look at this when we laid it out. When the town first decided to build plant 11, it was always intended to be a two well site. So when we designed the original building, a lot of the treatment equipment will be in that first building. We left space for it. So the way it's laid out right now is really the most cost effective way.

I think I answered all the questions. Did I miss any?

Maybe to answer another question, on any time any water supplier drills a well anywhere in Nassau and Suffolk County, there is always the possibility that even how remote it may be, that there could be contamination on this site. When we- in the ground, underneath where we are drilling. When we proceeded with well #11-1, we- the first step we always do, you never know what the water quality is like. The only way is to put a test well in. So the first thing we do is drill a test well. A test well did go in. We pumped that well 100 hours straight at two million gallons of water a day and we then tested the water quality and found that it was of excellent quality. So we are very confident that the second well on the site will also be on excellent quality."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You kind of went down the road. Because I know we heard some drawback or draw downs or effects of being close, but you've touched upon what would be, I guess, an incentive or reason for doing it. That is the fact that we know with 11-1, the water quality was excellent. All right.

Thank you, Mr. Kelleher. Anybody else who would like to- "

Councilman Lull: "Dennis. One other question that wasn't answered. Do we have any wells in town that are deeper than 300 feet?"

Dennis Kelleher: "Yes. I think your deepest well is 780 feet."

Councilman Lull: "Seven- okay."

Dennis Kelleher: "And we have some wells that are shallower than that. Actually on Long Island there are wells that go anywhere

from 100 feet deep up to, I think, 1,200 feet deep I think in Fire Island. They have- to get to good water, they have to go to 1,000 feet deep. From a cost standpoint, you try to keep it as shallow as possible and at the same time give you enough protection from an contamination.

So every- what we do during the test well process is we take samples of the soil every five feet and we analyze that soil to determine what is the best location for the well."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Anyone else who hasn't had a chance to address the Board who would like to? Rex, do you want to speak? No, on this public hearing. We're still in public hearing. No? On the well, 11-2. All right. If not, declare the public hearing- Mr. Kasperovich."

William Kasperovich: "William Kasperovich. The gentleman paints a much better picture than I could in explaining the questions and I still can't sense why in the overall township with an existing network of distributing the pipes that this choice of location still to my thinking is not justifiable.

Some- well, let's see, when was this fellow, the Supervisor before you?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Which one?"

Councilman Densieski: "What's his name?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Villella. Mr. Stark?"

William Kasperovich: "Villella. In his- in those two years, Mr. Schmelzer who is quite familiar, very familiar with the Manorville area and Mr. Roberts and myself and a few other fellows- "

Councilman Densieski: "Is this anything to do with the public hearing, sir?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think he's getting to the point."

William Kasperovich: "-- over different periods at different times reviewed potential optimum uses of location, of well location. We had one excellent, two and three not bad, and it would give a better water at a higher dimension. So the merits of putting this well 100 feet away, planting a million dollars there and the

immediate- there is no immediate demand, we are then looking in a crystal ball and using the best judgment available."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. I think he did talk about the demand and, Dennis, if you didn't, would you want to address it again just to explain why you're suggesting or recommending that a well, the additional well, 11-2, to be located. I thought I heard that, but why don't we repeat it for the record?"

Mr. Kasperovich, if you would let Mr. Kelleher address that. Because you're indicating there was nothing to describe a demand. So I'd like to have him address that."

William Kasperovich: "All right. Go ahead."

Dennis Kelleher: "I'm not sure- the demand question is on a typical day like today, the Riverhead Water District does not use a lot of water. It has 10 wells, it probably needs only two of those wells today. On a hot summer day, this past summer for example, Superintendent Pendzick needed every one of those wells to be running at 100% to keep up with the demand of the community. He had his historical peak of over 16 million gallons of water being pumped in a day. You have a capacity of- a pumping capacity of right around 16 million gallons per day."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Today."

Dennis Kelleher: "Today."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Or at least this past summer."

Dennis Kelleher: "Correct."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay."

Dennis Kelleher: "And from a good management practice standpoint, you cannot have just enough because you have to- a good rule of thumb or a good engineering practice, is to have 20% excess capacity to handle power outages, mechanical breakdowns, or loss of a well due to water quality issues. So at this time, the Riverhead Water District does need this well to meet the demands in case any of the existing wells does break down on a hot summer day."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Mr. Kasperovich- "

William Kasperovich: (Inaudible)

Dennis Kelleher: "The simple answer, it is the most cost effective way to give the Riverhead Water District an additional supply well. Do we have to go there? The answer is no. If we had another piece of property, we could go to another site, drill another well on a brand new piece of vacant property. It would just be more money than the \$960,000 that we're talking about. It would probably be \$1.5 million dollars.

And the Board should realize, the District has many other sites that already has two wells on it. Plant 7 has two wells that are approximately 100, 150 feet apart. Plant 4 has two wells that are about 100, 150 feet apart. Plant 5 has two wells. So this is just commonly done in the industry."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. I- we heard your comments, Mr. Kasperovich, on why you think there's another location based upon your prior studies. We heard the response on capacity. Unless there's something new that you haven't addressed, I'd like to close the public hearing. Yes, gentleman in the back, you want to come up?"

Bob Moss: "Bob Moss, Calverton. I've got a question. I'm new at this. On a price of a million dollars for the wells, did- is that subject to a bid- a bid going out?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "There is a bidding. Yes. This is an estimate. This is based upon the engineer drawing up a map and plan laying out what he believes will take place or what their firm believes will take place as far as depth of the well, pumping capacity, motor, the well pump itself, what the size of it will be, the electronics, etc. Then it will be subject to a bid."

Bob Moss: "Well, the only thing I find strange is shooting out prices before you get a bid."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We have to have a public hearing first, sir. By General Municipal Law- if I may explain."

Bob Moss: "Wait, wait- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "By General Municipal Law, we have to have a public hearing to hear whether there is- what the reaction and what the public would say as far as this proposed expansion. It would be worse to go the other way, to go out to bid and then ask the public

should we do this. So I mean this is the right way to do it."

Bob Moss: "Well, I've been in business for many years and we never put a number out before it was bid. We always took the bidders numbers and when off that. We just didn't say, here's a million dollars. Now come back to us with a price. You think they're going to come back with a price for less than a million dollars, if you are telling them we have a million dollars to spend?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "They do. Thank you, sir. Anybody else who would like to address the Board? Okay, the public- the time being 2:42 p.m., the time of the public hearing is closed."

Public Hearing closed: 2:42 p.m.

Public Hearing opened: 2:42 p.m.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Barbara, the time being 2:42, would you read the affidavit of publishing and posting for the second public hearing?"

Barbara Grattan: "I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall, Riverhead, New York on December 17, 2002, at 2:15 p.m. regarding the increase and improvements of the Water District regarding the installation of a new chlorination system using dry chlorine tablets. Estimated cost of the system is \$95,000 to be paid from existing district funds."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kelleher."

Dennis Kelleher: "Dennis Kelleher, from H2M. We're the engineers for the Riverhead Water District.

Back in May of 2001, the Riverhead Water District was notified by the New York State DEC that their plant did not conform to the regulations for the bulk chemical storage tank in terms of providing spill containment, when a chemical delivery truck entered the plant site to fill up some of the chemical tanks that we have.

The Riverhead Water District uses liquid chlorine, a bleach chlorine solution, 15%, to chlorinate their water. They have bulk chemical storage tanks larger than 185 gallons at several of the

sites. What the DEC regulations require is to have some type of containment under the truck in case a spill occurs during what's called the transfer of chemicals from the truck to the tank at the Water District.

A public hearing was held in front of this Board back- earlier this year to fund the construction of these- what we call containment pads at several of the sites. The estimated cost was \$116,000. However, since we had that public hearing, the Suffolk County Department of Health Services has approved a different type of chlorine system.

As I mentioned before, right now the Riverhead Water District uses liquid chlorine, a 15% solution. What several other water suppliers in Nassau County have already started using and several in Suffolk County have recently started using, is a dry tablet chlorinator to create the chlorine.

The new chlorine system would eliminate the old liquid chlorine and would eliminate the bulk chemical storage tanks which would eventually eliminate the need to build these containment pads.

We've reviewed this option of chlorination with Superintendent Pendzick and his staff. We've actually visited a couple of installations in Suffolk County and Nassau County and we conducted a cost effective analysis and have determined that it would be more cost effective for Riverhead Water District to switch to these dry table chlorinators.

The dry tablet chlorine tablets are very similar to the products that are used in pool chlorination systems. Rather than the small tabs, they're the big three inch- they come in different sizes- three inch tabs and it's a small unit that actually creates its own liquid chlorine by spraying water over the tablets and creating a solution that is then injected in the water.

We estimate the cost of installing these units at \$95,000. This is less than the \$116,000 to just build the containment pads. Even though a public hearing has already approved the funding for the \$116,000, it was felt that this is a change in scope so we felt we should hold another public hearing to notify the public that we're not going to go ahead and build these containment pads but would rather go out and purchase and install these tablet chlorinator units at our sites even though it's a reduced cost.

The funding for this project as was mentioned in the first hearing is coming out of the district repair and maintenance account and we recommend that this \$96,000- \$95,000 also be taken out of that account and that the budget be modified from \$116,000 down to the 95 for a total savings of approximately \$21,000.

We will be purchasing a total of seven units, three what's called single units because there's one well at the site, and four dual units where there are two wells at the site.

That should do it. One other thing. The cost of the chlorine in the tablet form is slightly more expensive than the liquid form but we feel that cost saving in manpower between trucking the liquid back and forth versus handling the tablets was a lot less manpower, would actually be an annual cost savings to the district.

Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I have a couple questions, Dennis, if you will. The other mechanism was to pads and that was, I think, we're talking about three locations or four?"

Dennis Kelleher: "That is correct. What was explained at the previous hearing. Even though we have these chlorine tanks at every plant site, we felt that it would be more cost effective at that time to just install larger tanks at three sites so we would only have to construct three of these pads and then the Water District has one vehicle that has a mobile tank on it- a mobile, you know, liquid chlorine holding tank on it where he would transfer from one of the large tanks every day to the smaller vehicle and then he would then transport it to the small tanks so there was a lot of labor involved where with the tabs they'd go to the tablet chlorinators, set it up for a day, and once you load that, it would be good for several days."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Question. You talked about seven units. Would that be covering the entire system or would there still be a need for liquid chlorine?"

Dennis Kelleher: "Yes. Actually we were trying one of these out in the new plant site 11-1 so that is already under contract, that the contractor will install that. So it will cover every well site, that's correct."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So there won't be a need for liquid chlorine. I just wanted to make sure that was clear."

Dennis Kelleher: "There will not be a need."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay, thank you. Anybody who would like to address the Board with- "

Dennis Kelleher: "The actual final product will be no different to the public. Chlorine is chlorine, whether it's in liquid form or the dry tablets. It gets converted to a liquid and then would be injected into the water. Just so people understand why we add chlorine to our water. It is a New York State Health Department regulation. It's mandated that all public water suppliers disinfect their water and the disinfection of choice by 99% of the water suppliers in New York State is chlorine."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So that's mandated by whom? New York State Health- "

Dennis Kelleher: "New York State Health Department. It's the sanitary code."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Dennis Kelleher: "We put a very minute amount of chlorine in the water as a precaution against any bacteria in the water."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Anybody who would like to- yes, George Schmelzer.""

Councilman Densieski: "Did you get a new coat, George?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a winter coat."

Councilman Densieski: "You used to wear that life preserver looking thing."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a winter coat."

George Schmelzer: "The gentleman says chlorine. Really? Is that free chlorine or just a short word, it's a chlorine compound? Can't believe they have liquid chlorine- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a table we're talking about in this case."

George Schmelzer: "Huh?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a tablet."

George Schmelzer: "Well, you shouldn't say chlorine. It's a compound, isn't it? It's not plain chlorine."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes, it is. He's saying yes."

George Schmelzer: "Okay. Now it's strange. Early this year or last year on the sewage, they were treating sewage, disinfecting it with high intensity ultraviolet. But now for drinking water we use chlorine which is a poison gas. Now why is sewage treated better than drinking water? Really."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is that your only question? Because if it is, then I'll have the consultant get up and explain."

George Schmelzer: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. If that is your only question, the consultant is prepared to answer. So, Mr. Kelleher."

George Schmelzer: "Yeah, for now. Maybe I'll have more questions. I don't know. See what the answer is. Okay. Where's the- who's going to answer it?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right behind you, George."

George Schmelzer: "There he is. All right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Snuck up on you."

Dennis Kelleher: "It's a very good question. First, I'll answer the other one. Yes, I loosely use the term chlorine. The exact- the liquid chlorine is sodium hypochloride (phonetic). The tablets, there's actually calcium hypochloride. They are both hypochloride, but they have to add something else so it's calcium that makes it a tablet form.

Yes, at the sewage treatment plant they are using- us the ultraviolet to kill the bacteria, a very good method of killing bacteria, as effective if not better than the chlorine. I would love to use ultraviolet for killing any type of bacteria that could be on water. However, the difference is, we have our well, the water comes out of the well, we need to chlorinate it in our pump station.

If we put a UV unit there to kill the bacteria, that's fine. Now we have bacteria free water going out into the system, going through the pipes. There could be bacteria in the pipes before it gets to your house. So there's no guarantee we're going to kill the bacteria when you go to your tap. The difference is when you add chlorine you have what's called a chlorine residual. The chlorine goes with the water and it's in the water the whole time so if any bacteria enters the system, through the distribution system, it will kill the bacteria."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And that chlorine residual, I assume, is the same reason they don't want it in sewage."

Dennis Kelleher: "That's correct."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Because that chlorine stays in there."

Dennis Kelleher: "Then you'll have a chlorine residual going out into the bay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay."

Dennis Kelleher: "So even though there is some pilot studies going on right now to use ultraviolet for killing bacteria in public water supplies, they are still going to require you to add a smaller amount of chlorine to make sure you have some type of disinfectant out in the system. But so it was a very good question."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

George Schmelzer: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Anybody else who would like to address the Board? Bill Kasperovich."

William Kasperovich: "William Kasperovich. At least one minor point that if the sewage department had their own well, there would be no problem with the residual. So one small point, but it's a point. I've got to take it wherever I see it."

Chlorine in the water has been something that I've been up here talking about for many years."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Understand that what we're looking at

here is the question of whether it's liquid chlorine or whether we go with this tablet chlorine. That's the scope of the hearing. We are proposing to go to a table form and, again, I use the word loosely, chlorine. So that's what we're talking about. Not the overriding issue of whether chlorine should be used at all. The question is whether we should use this methodology."

William Kasperovich: "In either event, the methodology does not change the problem when you don't have a balanced system. And when the water pipes were being put in in this township, we were so desperate to get clean water, we weren't concerned about balancing the system. We said eventually it'll get balanced. Well, we've grown many years now and still no effort to have a balanced system.

The concentrated chlorine, no matter how you get it, has got to be distributed in the flow of the water to the furthest points of the network and the Health Department goes to the furthest point unannounced and takes their samples to make sure that the water is good.

Now, how effective or the act of chlorine is arrived at and in this case with the tablets, the man describes very loosely that you would leach out of the tablets by water spraying. I assume it's water spraying. So, if you've got calcium hypochloride, you don't have a chlorine compound, you've got a chlorine of softer material that will dilute and leave the particle that it's riding on.

Now, again, the manner and the concentration that you start with and the way you put it down the line so that all of the entire network has some chlorine in it and you pray that the furthest point will have the minimum amount that the Health Department requires. Now, I have no objection to transferring the method of getting the chlorine into the pipe system.

What I do object strenuously is that you put out a resolution where you say several places, you don't say seven units, several places. The description of the loading to the Riverhead township and the distribution from the terminal point is not, no way can you spell it out in the first Whereas. So consequently I take a hard look again. Where's going to be the improvement? Convenience for the operators or getting the DEC and the Health Department off our backs?

Also, from the point of injecting the chlorine. The unit takes it out of the tablets. You have the chlorine in the water. Now, to

disperse that takes a bit of engineering. And I kind of get my hackles up when I hear that you're talking about liquid versus solids, when the object should be what ends up in the resident's kitchen or bathroom."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So did I catch you right before? You're not opposed to the idea of tablets?"

William Kasperovich: "Only- only if the specific- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Because what we're talking about today is the tablet chlorination system and if, you know, as far as the other items that you're talking about which are more- are beyond the scope of this hearing, which you're talking about the balancing and the additional layout of the system, that's not the topic today. It's the question of whether we authorize the 90- that's what the public hearing talks about.

Let me read the public hearing notice."

William Kasperovich: "That's what gets my hackles up- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Regarding the installation of a new chlorinating system using dry chlorine tablets."

William Kasperovich: "(inaudible)- in this manner indicates that you don't know what we're talking about up here. Now, I don't expect you to be a chemical engineer or a chemist- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No, no, no- that's not- "

William Kasperovich: "-- to fully understand everything that's been said up here. But then when you want to push everything else aside- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's not the point."

William Kasperovich: "- try to grasp the ultimate purpose of this, you- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You obviously- may I speak? You obviously miss the point of my comment. We have a public hearing and let's say the public hearing is, just for argument's sake, changing the color of the exterior walls of town hall. Then you are going on talking about what's happening in the 78 square miles of town, what

other things are happening as far as town government. They are not the subject matter of the public hearing.

The subject matter of this particular public hearing is very simple, very straight, described in the public notice. And it says, that it is to hear from the public regarding the increase and improvement of the Riverhead Water District and here's the important part, and I emphasize, regarding the installation of a new chlorination system using dry chlorine tablets. Period. And then it goes on to say at the end, the estimated cost of the new chlorinating system is \$95,000. Then, Mr. Kelleher, as the consulting engineer for the Town of Riverhead, described how many units were going to be employed, how they were going to be- how the system was going to be carried out. That's what we're talking about today.

And I'm trying to- and I understand this is a topic that you get highly charged up and concerned about and it's not to push it aside as you suggest, but it's to limit the discussion to what the topic is for the day. And that's what the topic is today. That's what the public hearing's scope is today."

William Kasperovich: "That's the way you read the public notice. I read the public notice that says- "

Councilman Densieski: "This is ridiculous."

William Kasperovich: "-- increase and improvement of the facilities."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Keep going."

William Kasperovich: "Facilities- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Keep going."

William Kasperovich: "-- of the Riverhead Water District in the town of Riverhead, Suffolk County, New York in the manner described in the preambles hereof and to hear all persons, etc., etc., etc. Now, that's contrary to what you just said."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No, it's not. Because you stopped. You said it's to hear about the increase and improvement of the Water-Riverhead Water District- "

William Kasperovich: "Facility. Facility."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't even see that word in the notice, sir."

William Kasperovich: "I'm reading it. Reading it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, whatever. Mr. Kasperovich, it's not in my notice and I took mine off the (inaudible). Anyway, let's limit it to the topic. If not, I'd like to let somebody else speak if they wish to."

William Kasperovich: "Mr. Kozakiewicz, I won't even call you Supervisor. But you pull this crap on me every time I get up here at a public hearing. Every time I get up here at a public hearing, you use that device to push it aside."

Councilman Densieski: "Point of order."

William Kasperovich: "The concern of the citizen resident in the township is always pushed on the side because your interpretation of what should be discussed. Well, if you are interested in the people that live here, you should push this to- detail this as far as possible to assure all aspects of use and safety. But, no, you go the other way.

Now, what is your point of order, Mr. Densieski?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kasperovich, that's my call and I think it has to do with the question of the public hearing."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, it does."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Densieski, is that what your point or order was?"

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, it is, sir."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "To limit the speaker to the topic on hand."

Councilman Densieski: "That would be appreciated by everybody in the audience, too, I believe."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

William Kasperovich: "If everybody in the audience had any concept of the (inaudible) substance being put into the drinking water, they would be up here standing in a row behind me. But they don't appreciate the seriousness of this subject.

Now, in- you conducted these public hearings in pretty well the way you have chosen to interpret what went down in print. But at the same time, you don't pay attention as to whether it conveys to the people that read it exactly what is to be presented.

Now, you want to simplify it to the point of using solid pellets as opposed to using liquid chlorine. You cannot simplify this to that extent because you're going from concentrated liquid to a diffusion or mixing tank and then put out into the system. How it's put out into the system, nobody up on that podium ever could concern themselves about it. And you have the audacity to tell me that it's not to the point.

The difference between the \$95,000 and the \$116,000 is some- a \$20,000 different on a six figure job is not that big a spread. The simplicity and the ease of handling tablets versus liquid chlorine is not specifically spelled out on how it's going to be done, with what equipment, to the point where it goes into the pipeline.

A general coverage was made here to that extent. But that's fine for- if you- this is not your world. But in some respects this has been my world. You talk about chlorine, I have had to talk about hydrogen cyanide. You respect the chemicals differently after you first handedly see what it does to the human form and body and make up.

Now, if you want to go with this pelletized material and leach it out of it and then you have, you are back into a liquid state and how you transfer that liquid state into the pipeline, how concentrated, how and with what manner you diffuse it or dissolve it, before you put it into the network. Between those points from putting the tablets in the storage room to putting it in the pipeline, should be spelled out explicitly, with no question.

If you need stainless steel piping, use stainless steel piping. If you have to have a surge tank, if you have to have a diffusing tank, if you have to have anything before you go into the line, that is part of going from the pellets to the liquid from my viewpoint.

And if you go that way, I would favor the pellets."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Anybody else who would like to address the Board? Seeing nobody else wish to speak, the time being 3:09 p.m., declare the second public hearing closed."

Public Hearing closed: 3:09 p.m.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's now opportunity for anybody to address us on resolutions that are appearing. As I indicated before, there will be one additional resolution and that will be to change the time and date of the last public hearing, or last Town Board meeting, of the year from December 26 to December 30 at 9:30 a.m. Anybody who would like to address us on Resolutions? Yes. Sal Mastropolo. And I'm not stepping away. I have to just take care of something inside. So- "

Sal Mastropolo: "Sal Mastropolo, Calverton. Resolution #1250. Is there anything missing there? It appears that 1249 is also approves an amended site plan and there's about eight or nine pages attached to it with all kinds of Whereas and stuff. And 1250 only has a page and a quarter. So I'm just questioning whether anything is missing.

1251, you are missing the attached notice of adoption."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, we just got it."

Barbara Grattan: "We just got it, Sal."

Councilman Lull: "We just got it, Sal."

Sal Mastropolo: "It wasn't in the packet I had."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, we just got it."

Sal Mastropolo: "I'm going to pass over 1255. I'll come back to that one.

1276. Do the registration fees cover the total expense of the

activities?"

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. Recreation."

Sal Mastropolo: "Thank you. 1279, awards bids for abandoned junk vehicles. Are we going to stop auctioning or is this after we've gone to auction- "

Councilman Densieski: "This is the junk left."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. Thank you. 1286, using the Armory."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, we've been doing that for many years for programs such as indoor tennis, etc."

Councilman Lull: "That's our teen night."

Sal Mastropolo: "Have we ever considered using our own school gymnasiums?"

Councilman Lull: "They're not available."

Councilwoman Blass: "They're not available."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. 1288, there's no attachment. And the second point on 1288 is shouldn't the resolution have a limit on the number of hours? I mean it has 175 an hour but it doesn't say whether he's authorized- they're authorized to bill us for 1,000, 2,000 or 5,000 hours. Shouldn't we have some kind of a limitation on the amount of hours before it comes up for another resolution. That's- 1288 is use of outside counsel."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Dawn Thomas: "We get monthly statements from outside counsel."

Sal Mastropolo: "Yeah, but shouldn't the resolution have a limit on the number of hours before it's brought up to the public again?"

Dawn Thomas: "We did that and let's say they were limited to 100 hours and they were in the middle of a court case, and they got to the 100 hours and then they'd have to stop. It really isn't practical to do that but the Board and my office controls the amount of money that's incurred in outside counsel fees by reviewing carefully the

bills and making sure that the case is being handled appropriately and with those controls and our reliance on the professionalism of our outside counsel, we are sure that the money is being (inaudible)."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, I'd like to add a little bit to that. What Dawn just said is not just lip service, it's actual truth. Dawn has personally taken outside counsel bills and readjusted them to the town's favor which I appreciate."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay."

Councilman Lull: "That's not strong enough, Ed. Yeah, it's readjusted in the town's favor. She's saved us hundreds of thousands of dollars in outside fees."

Sal Mastropolo: "Resolution 1255, adopts three year comprehensive capital budget plan."

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Sal Mastropolo: "Would you tell us how much we're in debt today in bonds."

Councilman Densieski: "A lot."

Sal Mastropolo: "Because I mean this capital plan that you have here says that we're going to go in debt for another 76 million dollars in bonds in three years."

Councilman Densieski: "This is basically similar to a sense resolution. We had to put forth that plan for bond counsel but it's basically nothing more than a sense resolution but it's some part of a package that we did have to put together. It doesn't necessarily mean that, you know, all those will happen or others won't happen."

Sal Mastropolo: "Well to tell you the truth, it scares me. I mean, you're mortgaging our future."

Councilman Densieski: "We had to put a plan together for bond counsel. Let's leave it at that."

Councilman Lull: "The plan is a plan for the future and it's required by the bond rating agencies."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay."

Councilman Lull: "This is what they desired; this is what we've given them. You have to understand the vast majority of what we've been putting- of what we've been going into debt for over the last couple of years has been essentially all, not all, the vast majority of it has to do with improvements in sewer, the land preservation and the landfill which is, you know, a \$30 million dollar job."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. Where does the money come from to pay off the binds though? I mean- "

Councilman Lull: "It comes from various sources."

Sal Mastropolo: "Does that get included in our normal budget and do we see it as a line item?"

Councilman Lull: "There, for example, are a couple of projects that have been done that were bonded, they were recreation projects that are bonded, they are paid totally by recreation funds."

Councilman Densieski: "Such as the skate park."

Councilman Lull: "Okay. Yes, like the skate park. It's paid totally by recreation funds from developers. Also included in here is water district and sewer district bonds."

Councilman Densieski: "(inaudible) grants, there's all kinds of- many, many grants are included."

Councilman Lull: "Those are all paid for by user fees."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. Last question."

Councilman Densieski: "CDBG grants and other grants also."

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Sal Mastropolo: "One last question. Under capital project budget plan, source of funds, you have developer fees but the line is blank. I didn't think nothing of it until I was reviewing some of the other resolutions and I found line items for like \$388,000 in developer fees and \$322,000 in developer fees. Was that an oversight or did we leave that blank on purpose? I mean shouldn't we have numbers in there of monies coming from developer fees?"

Councilman Densieski: "I don't know the answer to this, Sal. Personally, I wouldn't lose a lot of sleep over that document to be perfectly honest with you. It's a document that we had to hand in."

Sal Mastropolo: "Well, see it scares me because I mean you come to the meetings and you hear about the budget but we never really talk about how much the town is in debt, okay? And then we see projects, okay, and bond this and bond that. Okay? Now I heard outside the door before we came in here that the town is roughly in debt \$40 million dollars in bonds today. Okay?"

Councilman Densieski: "I don't know the exact numbers."

Sal Mastropolo: "And I look at \$76 million and I say, geez, \$40 million and \$76 million but that never comes up in the day to day discussions about the budget. Where does all the money come from to pay the bonds? Okay? You know, are we- "

Councilman Lull: "The money comes- "

Sal Mastropolo: "- going to be mortgaging 20 years out and you guys are going to be gone and my kids are going to be in the town and they are going to be sucking wind to pay off- "

Councilman Densieski: "I'm still going to be here."

Sal Mastropolo: "I meant- "

Councilman Lull: "He meant me, Ed. Sal, the money to pay off these bonds comes from various sources, including general fund. One that I forgot before when I was talking about it was the Community Preservation Fund. That is a tremendous amount of money. That's the 2% tax, transfer tax, and- "

Councilman Densieski: "And that's going to pay off \$30 million dollars."

Councilman Lull: "That's going to pay off the \$30 million dollar bond issue- preservation bond."

Sal Mastropolo: "Well, one last comment. This 1255, okay, would be a lot more valuable or how do I want to say it? There should be another section of it. You have where you are going to spend the

money and where it's coming from. It would be nice if you had one more section that said oh, by the way, to pay off these bonds, here's where the source of the money is going to come from.

We expect to get, you know, \$5 million from recreation fees. We expect to get, you know, \$10 million from the Water District in the form of payments. It wouldn't be as dramatic as it is if we knew where the money was coming to pay the bonds back. That's right."

Councilman Densieski: "It wouldn't be such a shock to the system if you knew there was other monies. That's a good point, sir."

Sal Mastropolo: "Thank you."

Susan Harder: "Good afternoon. My name is Susan Harder. I'm from East Hampton but I'm here today representing the Dark Sky Society of Long Island. Since we advocate the sensible use of lighting, we applaud the enactment of the proposed law. This law is not only the same one that the master planner, Lee Koppelman, recommended for East Hampton's comprehensive plan, not yet enacted, but it is also listed as the model lighting ordinance in the recently published Citizen Energy Plan of Long Island which is endorsed by 37 environmental, energy, civic, spiritual and government groups, the Sustainable Energy Alliance.

Riverhead will be the first to enact this important measure and I prepared in advance for the Board members a certificate of appreciation. This excellent outdoor lighting ordinance will conserve our limited natural resources by requiring sensible light levels, reduce airborne pollutants from unnecessarily burned fossil fuels, reduce debilitating glare from unshaded fixtures for drivers and pedestrians alike, reduce the negative effects of artificial light on flora and fauna, result in an inviting and attractive nighttime environment, and preserve and protect our beautiful star filled night skies.

So we are very appreciative. And I did find, I think an appropriate quote to end my remark. He who exercises government by means of his virtue may be compared to the North Pole star which keeps its place and all the stars turn towards it. Confuscious."

Councilman Densieski: "Before you sit down, Susan, I just want to thank you for all the help. She's been a tremendous inspiration to us in Riverhead and certainly a wealth of knowledge and we called upon you many times. Thanks very much for your help."

Susan Harder: "And you are very welcome. And also I want you to know the entire state of New York is watching the town hall today here."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you."

Susan Harder: "Should I give you (inaudible)."

Councilman Densieski: "Maybe it to the Town Clerk."

Councilwoman Blass: "Ed, you should accept it on behalf of the Board."

Councilman Densieski: "Well, it's for the Board. The Board-it's a board action."

Councilwoman Blass: "You did most of the work."

Councilman Densieski: "I got a framed one. Susan, thank you so much. I appreciate all your help."

Councilwoman Blass: "Good job, Ed."

Councilman Lull: "Anyone else? Mr. Danowski."

Peter Danowski: "On the same ordinance, and I recognize even though the lady might be presumptuous that you're about to pass the resolution, to adopt the Dark Sky Ordinance as it's called, and I'm sure I don't have the same reaction from you. But Ed and I have spoken about this ordinance just briefly a little while and I did send a letter into the town.

My concern was not with the shielding and the idea of dark skies. I'm certainly support of that. Any development client I have would also agree, I think, that shielding of lights is very important. You standardize the shielding. You make that part of your zoning code. If you said you had to produce this shield or come for special permission for another shielding device to the Town Board, I think everyone would agree with that. And that's a good concept and I'm supportive of that.

I always, when you first start adopting an ordinance, say are you at least grandfathering those pending applications because there are

people with engineered plans that have done lighting design that are in the pipeline. Whenever I make these comments, when the ordinance is finally adopted, I seldom see a grandfathering provision. And I don't see it in this particular ordinance either.

Secondly, when I look at the real thought behind this, it is to darken the skies, to provide shielding, and I question on the flip side, don't you worry about safety? When someone is shopping in an area and it's dimly lit, aren't people concerned about the adequacy of lighting? There are shadows, there are concerns. We're not all great people out there in the public in the parking area arena.

Also, there are many businesses that unfortunately get broken into at night. Car dealers are hit all the time in the back of parking lots so I'm concerned about the adequacy of light that is shown down onto the parking area. Perfectly shielded away from neighbors; perfectly shielded from the sky.

I think when the part of the ordinance that talks about a 10 foot height restriction and the wattage of illumination is going to mean that you're going to have to put up many, many more poles throughout the parking lots. So you're going to see more poles, more visible to the eye at eye level and it's going to be more of an impediment to cars in parking areas.

So I'm not opposed to the general thought. I like the concept, the dark skies, I just think this ordinance needs to be adjusted a little bit because I think when the future site plans come in, we're going to have inadequate lights or a tremendous amount of poles throughout parking lots.

You'll then say, well, why are you putting all these poles in and we'll say it's to meet this new ordinance. That's my thoughts. Thanks."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you, Pete. Pete, I'd just like to say one thing, that, like any ordinance that we adopt, if we find that there's flaws or shortcomings on either side of the fence, you know, it's something that we can adjust. But I think it's absolutely imperative that we move forward to this. I really do."

Peter Danowski: "Well, we had a conversation and I appreciate that. I like the grandfathering idea. And as you and I know, when Board members come up to a client of mine in a discussion at a work

session meeting, or the Planning Board does something similar, and you suggest doing something that the code doesn't require, if it makes sense, it's a benefit for the town, I and the developer try to do that.

So even in instances that are going through the process now, we've tried to comply with your thoughts in this ordinance, sometimes it works, sometimes it doesn't."

Councilman Densieski: "Appreciate it. We appreciate your considering what (inaudible)."

Peter Danowski: "And that's happened already on this ordinance."

Councilwoman Blass: "And I also wanted to commend your cooperation. Several years ago I think you were approached on behalf of a client, Long Island National, to see if there wasn't something that could be done about the lights in the parking lot during the evening hours and you were able to get your client to cooperate there. So I wanted to mention that (inaudible)."

Peter Danowski: "Thank you. I think it makes sense. I just think what's going to happen and we'll have some give and take on this in the future. Thank you."

Councilwoman Blass: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Eve Kaplan."

Eve Kaplan: "My name is Eve Kaplan and today on behalf of the North Fork Environmental Council and also speaking on behalf of the Wading River Civic Association that couldn't be here at this meeting.

We'd like to express our support for you to adopt today Resolution 1251, adopting the law that will mitigate adverse environmental effects of outdoor lighting. I think it's clear that this ordinance- Susan mentioned that this is really a model that's been developed for use all over New York State, potentially all over the country.

There's been a lot of thought that's gone into this and I think that you'll see that there's more benefit than harm that will come out

of it.

An issue that's often raised is that light- people won't be able to have bright lights where they need them and that's just not true. This is for light going upward so I always say and the only people's whose safety may be reduced is the aliens who are flying around up there. Fortunately our airplanes have all the lights that they need.

So, I think this will be really great for Riverhead and I want to thank you in advance for adopting this."

Councilman Densieski: "I did receive a memo from Sid Bail today that you would be speaking for him, Eve. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "George Clark."

George Clark: "George Clark, Wading River. Just one quick question. On the revised site plan for Great Rock outside, I just noticed that the 18th is taken care of. But under the original paperwork that I brought in from everybody, the 7th fairway, the 17th fairway also has the same problem. I'm just wondering if that was an oversight on this plan, that it just didn't hit it in red or whether there will be a new proposal coming through or if we just have a dilemma."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I know that you mentioned that once before but I don't recall that we ever had specific recommendations on how those particular holes, the 17th- "

George Clark: "The 17th and the 7th."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- were going to be improved. I think you said there was some clearing of underbrush."

George Clark: "The 7th, in my estimation and I am not a designer, believe me. I think probably netting on both of those holes would take care of the situation because on the 17th, there are actually no buffer whatsoever where the balls are coming through. It's coming right through the yards, into the streets, with no buffer zone at all.

And my main concern or question mainly is, is now the time to do this or would there be another site plan coming through later possibly with this change on it or are we at an impasse? That's about it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, this is one that's been constantly moving. It's like a target, you know, that target that keeps going across in the game, I think that's kind of what we've been dealing with on this particular site plan.

When we had dealt with the issues, the issues were really, I think when we went out, we met with Mr. Colacci (phonetic) and the design team out there, the 18th tee which we all agreed relocating it closer to the tree line should hopefully prevent balls coming on top of the houses, and some design of a couple areas, I think it was the halfway house where there was a change in the topo which had resulted in some flooding. They were changing the contour of the course so that there would not be flooding onto the adjoining parcel. I think, I can't think of the homeowner's name. Those are really the major issues that- were the only issues that we touched upon. We did not have at that particular time any complaints about 7 or 17. I think you had come in after that and after we had had the meeting with Mr. Colacci and had actually talked about this with his design team."

George Clark: "Yeah. Unfortunately I came in prior to that and I had mentioned to Mr. McClellan and also all the papers that I had given to the town attorney, to Dawn Thomas. There was letters from all these different areas, from all these different holes, that had this problem."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "My question is very simple. If we try to address 17th and 7, do we then start revisiting 15th, 14th, 12, 11, 10, 1, 2?"

George Clark: "No. Believe me, I'm not trying to- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I'm just trying to get a gauge on it. Does it stop, do we get to a conclusion or are we completely redesigning a course that's been built? And I'm not saying that to be indifferent."

George Clark: "Well, it's- I agree with you and I understand your position. My- as far as I am aware, there are only three holes that are a problem or a safety hazard to the community and those are the three, 18, 17 and 7. I am not aware of anything else. I didn't write letters and I didn't talk to anybody else from any other hole. Or nobody else contacted me. Those are the only ones. Believe me, I am tremendously thankful of what's being done on the 18th and I don't take that lightly.

And I'm just looking to see if- I don't want somebody to come to me later on and say, well, you should have mentioned a lot time ago that you are still having this problem while we had these, you know, this paperwork out available where something might be done at this point. That's my only fear. Okay?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Clark."

George Clark: "Thank you very much."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. Anybody else who would like to address us on resolutions? Not seeing anybody wish to do so, we're going to take up the resolutions at this time."

Resolution #1249

Councilman Densieski: "I'm getting there. Approves amended site plan of Eagle Auto Mall Corporation. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Lull: "Mr. Chairman, I'd like to suggest an amendment to this?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Where?"

Councilman Lull: "In the covenants and in the page 2, number 3 on both. Excuse me, number 3 on page 2, it says that the form design and color of all signage, that one's all about signage. On the fifth line where it says 108-56 Riverhead Town Code should be complied with, I would like to see included in there, this includes the removal of existing signs which do not comply with town code prior to beginning of installation of aforementioned fence and drainage. In that one and in number 2 on the covenants."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. You got that, Barbara?"

Barbara Grattan: "No, I don't but he will give it to me."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Any other discussion on the proposed change? Okay. So we've moving it and seconding it. Moved and

seconded with the amendment."

Councilwoman Sanders: "With the amendment."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. Vote, please."

Barbara Grattan: "Lull amended it and Densieski second?"

Councilman Densieski: "I moved it and I think Rose seconded it."

Councilwoman Sanders: "I seconded it."

Councilman Lull: "We amended it now we have to go with moving and seconding. That's all."

Councilman Densieski: "I move it as amended."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Vote, please."

Barbara Grattan: "Just one second. Okay."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted as amended."

Resolution #1250

Councilwoman Blass: "Mr. Supervisor, in light of some comments that were made just today, I-- unfortunately, I thought we had this all taken care of and I'm a little bit surprised."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So am I."

Councilwoman Blass: "But in light of those comments, I'm wondering if we shouldn't table this resolution to see if we cannot address those two what appear to be minor issues by comparison to the others that have already been addressed. I'm just wondering if there is support for the board."

Councilman Densieski: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. It's not critical to be approved today, so- "

(Some inaudible discussion among the board members)

Councilwoman Blass: "That's a good point. There is an accompanying resolution which would have, thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So we'll take- there's a motion to move 1254 out of order and together with 1250 and table both?"

Councilwoman Blass: "Right. The 1254 was the release of a performance bond and I make a motion to table both of those at this point."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Moved and seconded to table. That's resolution 1250 and 1254. Vote, please, on both."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I feel like I'm deja vous, we were here once before but anyway, given the fact that- I think we definitely have to go back to the drawing board as they say. Tabled. Yes."

Barbara Grattan: "Resolutions 1250 and 1254 are tabled."

Resolution #1251

Councilman Densieski: "Adopts local law in order to mitigate adverse environmental and public safety effects of excessive outdoor lighting. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Yes. I'd like to thank Councilman Densieski for all his work in this in bringing this up and moving it forward and bringing it to the point where it is today. Thanks, Ed."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Blass."

Councilwoman Blass: "Yes. And Ed, very good job. I appreciate your bringing it to light."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you. Good one."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. Just a couple quick comments here. This resolution addresses wasteful and harmful light pollution. God bless you. In my opinion, this is the most important legislation we can adopt. Considering that in the US alone, we waste 4.5 billion dollars a year on misdirected light.

I'd just like to read the third Whereas if you wouldn't mind. It says here, Whereas, the proliferation of excessive lighting has been determined to have adverse impacts upon plant, animal and human populations, the safety of drivers and pedestrians, the privacy of individuals in their homes, as well as the scientific and recreational viewing of the night sky.

I'd just like to tell you some of the letters in support that we did receive. The Sustainable Energy Alliance, Cornell, the Citizens Campaign for the Environment, several civic and numerous environmental groups, and lots of friends and neighbors.

So, I think, as we got involved with this, many people said, you know, I've got a neighbor that's got a light, you know, right in my room or right in my yard. I think this does affect everybody. Have you ever driven down the road and all of a sudden you notice that somebody is flashing their headlights at you? You are actually driving down the road without your lights on. I've done it myself. It's so bright in certain areas of our community that you literally don't need lights because it's so bright, which is very dangerous.

Tomorrow morning, I have prepared all the envelopes and I'm going to include this legislation to every Suffolk County legislator, every Suffolk County Supervisor, and every major supplier of lighting in the Town of Riverhead. Thank you.

I think from early man, the human race has been fascinated with lighting up the night sky. But I think we overdid it so we've got to

think a little bit better.

I'd like to thank Susan Harder who certainly was a big help and I'd also like to thank Rick Hanley from the Planning Department. And it's nice to know that Riverhead is first once in a while, so I'm going to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "And strong support from the Traffic Safety Committee as well, Ed. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I can't resist. I've got to follow up on Councilwoman Blass' comments. Thank you for not keeping us in the dark this time as you sometimes do. Yes."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1252

Councilman Lull: "Accepts the performance bonds of Robert Bertorello. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1253

Councilwoman Sanders: "Accepts 5% security certificate of deposit of Antonino Militello. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1255

Councilman Densieski: "Adopts three year comprehensive capital budget plan. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1256

Councilwoman Sanders: "We have to adjust the numbers."

Councilman Densieski: "What about 55, Barbara?"

Councilwoman Sanders: "We did 1255."

Councilman Densieski: "Recreation we did? Oh, okay. You told me."

Councilwoman Sanders: "It's a budget adjustment for the Riverhead Recreation Program Fund. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Barbara Grattan: "Do we have something wrong here?"

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. Two 1255's."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. So we're doing the recreation one right now?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilwoman Blass: "We pulled 1254, Barbara, before. We pulled that."

Barbara Grattan: "Right."

Councilman Densieski: "That was Great Rock. We pulled."

Councilwoman Sanders: "1255 is the- "

Barbara Grattan: "1255 is the budget- is the thing."

Councilwoman Sanders: "So 1256 is the recreation."

Barbara Grattan: "Right. Okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's what we're taking the vote up on, 1256."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay. Sanders, Lull."

Councilman Lull: "No, wait a second."

The Vote: "Sanders."

Councilman Lull: "No. We've got to go back."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah."

Councilman Lull: "55."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "1255 was the capital budget plan."

Councilman Lull: "Yup."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And this one was also #1255, which is recreation program fund budget adjustment."

Councilman Lull: "We're missing 1258. That's what we're missing. So we move every one back to 1258- every one back one. 1255- "

Councilman Densieski: "Will be 56."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "1255 will become 1256."

Councilman Lull: "And 56 becomes seven."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And 1257 becomes 1258."

Councilman Lull: "And there is no 1258."

Councilwoman Blass: "You know what, Ed? The agenda is correctly numbered. It's the resolution are not."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Any other discussion?"

Councilman Densieski: "No."

Councilman Lull: "No."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Vote, please."

Barbara Grattan: "I am totally confused."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No, you're not. Come on."

Barbara Grattan: "Recreation program fund is what resolution?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is 1256."

Councilwoman Sanders: "I moved it."

Barbara Grattan: "1256."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The more we talk about it, the more we confuse ourselves."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay, 1256, Councilwoman Sanders."

Councilwoman Sanders: "I already moved it."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay. And is there a second?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It was moved and seconded."

Barbara Grattan: "All right now. Vote."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1257

Councilwoman Blass: "This is a senior citizen day care center fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. You might have heard me be critical of the accounting department on several occasions here. I just received this letter at 2:03 today and I'm going to read a very short portion of it.

This is from Judy Doll who is the head of the senior's department.

It has been brought to my attention that a resolution to move \$91,700 from the general fund into the adult day care program will be presented for a vote at today's Town Board meeting. I, as a department head for the senior's department, was not made aware of this transfer of funds nor do I understand it.

Below is a summary of the day care budget through October, 2002. I hope you have time to review it, blah, blah, blah. And then it gives an accounting.

Once again, the department head has no idea what the accounting department is doing and had no knowledge even of a budget adjustment. So with that said, I'm going to be forced to vote no."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "It may be in the mailbox now. I don't know. I didn't see it."

Councilman Densieski: "What? That letter? I just- she handed it to me as the meeting started. Just handed it to me. Yes. I called the department head earlier to find out if she had any knowledge of it. She said no and wrote that letter."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So in any event, we're in the middle

of a vote. Jim?"

Councilman Lull: "That's not fair to the ladies to vote at this point because they didn't have that knowledge."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, they can change their vote. Since we're in the middle of a vote."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Councilman Lull: "I tell you what. I'll pass. Come back to me."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Councilman Densieski: "I don't think it's fair to the department head either."

Councilwoman Blass: "I'd like to reconsider and make a motion to table this resolution until I've had an opportunity to explore this backup information that we are just receiving."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, I think we're in the middle of a vote which was up to Jim. Ed, do you abstain?"

Councilman Densieski: "No. I'm voting no."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Jim, I think the way to do it is we both vote no or both abstain."

Councilman Lull: "We'll both abstain."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And it doesn't pass. Abstain."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay. You abstain, Jim, too?"

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And we'll revisit it. Okay? Thank you."

Resolution #1258

Barbara Grattan: "Resolution #1258, Councilman- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Jim abstained and I abstained. So the resolution 1257 did not pass, it's not adopted."

Councilman Lull: "We need more information."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right? Thank you. 1258."

Councilman Densieski: "Nutrition site council fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1259

Councilman Lull: "Refuse and garbage district budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1260

Councilwoman Sanders: "The Riverhead Ambulance District budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1261

Councilwoman Blass: "This is a street lighting district budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1262

Councilman Densieski: "Town of Riverhead general fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, abstain; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1263

Councilman Lull: "Police Athletic League fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1264

Councilwoman Sanders: "Animal Shelter donation fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes;

Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1265

Councilwoman Blass: "This is a repair and maintenance reserve fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1266

Councilwoman Sanders: "It's a Reeves Golf Water Ext. #72 capital project budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1267

Councilman Lull: "Calverton Sewer District budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders."

Councilman Densieski: "I hope- excuse me, Mr. Supervisor. Discussion, please."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yup. Go ahead."

Councilman Densieski: "Let's see. No, I'm sorry. It's not this resolution. Go ahead with the vote."

The Vote: "Sanders."

Barbara Grattan: "We got you. Blass."

Councilwoman Sanders: "No. You didn't get me. Yes is my vote."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Blass, yes; Densieski, abstain, Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1268

Councilman Densieski: "Riverhead Sewer District budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1269

Councilwoman Sanders: "It's a Water District budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1270

Councilman Densieski: "Workers Compensation Fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1271

Councilwoman Blass: "This is a municipal garage budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. I'm the internal liaison to the town garage until we decide if we are going to have a department head of what. I had no idea what this is so I'll have to abstain."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah. On this particular one, I have asked for a received a breakout of how these expenses are being occurred and it's end of the year wrap up type of resolution. Yes."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1272

Councilman Lull: "Budget adjustment from the risk retention fund. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Densieski: "Discussion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Densieski: "I believe we have two CDA budget adjustments here and just prior to the Town Board meeting I asked the department head if they had any clue what the accounting department was doing here. And the department head notified me no, they had no interaction with the accounting department in reference to these. So I just wanted to bring that up before we voted."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I know that with respect to the first

one, it's self-explanatory. It's being done to increase the coverage on the (inaudible) insurance."

Councilman Densieski: "Very true. But the point I'm trying to make here- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Are you saying (inaudible)?"

Councilman Densieski: "-- we have a policy where the department heads routinely have no idea what the accounting department is doing. Time after time after time this is the procedure that we have in place and I disagree with it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "What are you suggesting happen?"

Councilman Densieski: "I would begin by suggesting that the department head responsible be at least notified by the accounting department of what's going on in their department. That's what I would start with. And I think the other things that we should do shouldn't be discussed right here."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. Any other discussion? Any other discussion? Vote."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, abstain, Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Relation to what Councilman Densieski was saying, if this is an ongoing problem, the correct place to begin it is at the regular meeting the Supervisor has with the department heads and I think that's the beginning place for this. I vote yes on this one."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1273

Councilwoman Sanders: "Calverton Park CDA budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, abstain, Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1274

Councilwoman Blass: "This is a highway fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1275

Councilman Densieski: "EDZ fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1276

Councilman Lull: "Sets registration fees for the Riverhead Recreation Department program. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1277

Councilwoman Sanders: "Awards bid for 2003 Type III Class I emergency vehicle for the ambulance. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "I don't know if anybody noticed in Newsday about a month and a half ago, they did a story on the Riverhead Volunteer Ambulance and they're doing a great job and Riverhead got real high grades. I'd like to thank them and they're always looking for more volunteers. With that said, I'll vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Yeah. As a matter of fact, that thing that Ed was talking about. Of the 92 ambulance corps on the Island, Riverhead of all the large ambulance corps finished first in terms of time of response. Flanders/Northampton also finished very high. As a matter of fact, higher than Riverhead response time but they're a much smaller district. Two out of the top three in the county are right here. And the other one was Shelter Island, yes. So two out of three, and certainly Riverhead was the top of the large ones. Yes, on this vehicle."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah. I don't know if Jim actually got into it. It's 90% of the calls responded to within 9 minutes of the time out. And they did the analysis island-wide. Three departments met that criteria for calls in 2001, Riverhead volunteer ambulance corps being one of the three as pointed out. So, once again, I want to commend them for providing prompt service and I vote yes on the resolution."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1278

Councilman Densieski: "Award bid for the Middle Road pump station improvements for Contract E and contract G Rivehead Sewer District. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1279

Councilman Densieski: "Awards bid for abandoned/junk vehicles. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1280

Councilwoman Blass: "This awards a bid for water service materials for use by the Riverhead Water District. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1281

Councilman Lull: "Accepts the resignation of William Gable as a school crossing guard. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1282

Councilwoman Blass: "This ratifies the appointment of a school

crossing guard to the Riverhead- to the police department, yes, Riverhead Police Department. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1283

Councilman Lull: "With our thanks, accepts the retirement of Det. Brian Keller from the Riverhead Police Department. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Ditto Mr. Lull's comments. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1284

Councilman Lull: "Is a promotion for John Arrigo to wastewater treatment plant operator II. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1285

Councilwoman Blass: "This authorizes the Town Clerk to publish and post a help wanted ad for full time bus driver. So moved."

Councilwoman Sanders: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1286

Councilwoman Sanders: "Authorizes the Supervisor to enter into an agreement with the New York State Armory. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1287

Councilman Densieski: "Accepts assignment of money market account and subdivision bond of NF Development LLC, park, playground and recreation fees and road and drainage improvements, Reeves Farms. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, abstain. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1288

Councilman Lull: "1288 appoints G.S. Peter Bergen, Esq. and the firm of Smith, Finkelstein, Lundberg, Isler and Yakaboski, LLP as special counsel to the Town Board. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1289

Councilwoman Blass: "This ratifies a settlement agreement. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1290

Barbara Grattan: "Resolution 1290 is to pay bills."

Councilman Lull: "So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, abstain. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1291

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to publish and post a public notice to change the date of the Riverhead Town Board meeting. It was previously December 19th and now it's going to be December 30th- correct- at 10:30."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. It was the 26th. It's now being moved to Monday, December 30th."

Councilman Densieski: "December 30th, got you. At 10:30. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "And second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Sanders, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. I've got some cards. If- Bob Moss? All right. Art Binder."

Councilwoman Sanders: "He already spoke."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Kathleen Grodski."

Kathleen Grodski: "Good afternoon, Supervisor and Board members. My name is Kathleen Grodski of Calverton.

I'm readdressing the rezoning, again, just to make you aware that we are not stopping and want you to be very well aware of what the community wants.

This afternoon I would like to talk about the application of rezoning of Crown Sanitation. When Reliance Leasing Corp. bought the 14 acres of property in 1997, they were well aware of the type of zoning that was applied to the property being agricultural. This was why they paid little over \$13,300 per acre. If their intent was to buy property that was Industrial B, they certainly would have paid a much higher price for that privilege. If they indeed wanted to find Industrial B property to expand their business, I do believe there is this type of zoned land available in Riverhead elsewhere.

As the Town Board, you are elected by the people of this town to speak for us and to make decisions that will benefit our town and also, hopefully, carry out the feelings of the majority of the people. This zone change I feel is wrong. If you have gone around to hear the people of this area's feelings and concerns about this change, you would know that the majority is against the zone change.

When these neighborhoods bought their homes in this area, yes, many of them after Crown was already in operation, deal with what comes along with that operation on a daily basis. They also bought their homes knowing that the land next door to this operation was zoned agricultural and couldn't be used for an industrial use. Having no idea that in a few years down the road that they would be trying to change that zone to so call expand their business which is not true because right now I don't believe that they aren't a crushing plant.

I also don't believe that that's what the land they are on is zoned for either.

I truly hope that this Board does the right thing for the people of this community. Remember your decision on this matter about

rezoning can be in your neighborhood next. Once you change zone for one, you set a precedence for others. This issue has been through many heated discussions.

I have nothing against Crown Sanitation, Crown Recycling, Excel Demolition Recycling Leasing Corp. (phonetic), or 865 Youngs Avenue Corp. or whoever purchases this property in the future. Crown has done a wonderful job as a transfer facility for this town and they are nice people. But that is not the issue. Remember the point, that is rezoning.

If you start here with spot zoning, you will just continue. We as a town do not need another crushing facility. We already have Calverton Industries and TS Haulers. I also believe that several years ago, Suffolk Cement had a crusher that they had to remove because this town did not want any more crushers and it was not needed.

The bottom line here to me is a no brainer. Number one, the town has Industrial B property available that Crown can go purchase if they want to start a new phase of their business. Two, spot zoning is something this town said they were against. Three, the people in this area don't want it.

Our town is now in the process of reclaiming the landfill at the cost of \$30 million dollars, that being right across the street. Please explain to me why after spending that much money would you want a crushing plant across the street? This makes no sense.

Now, let me just mention that the master plan-- here's a plan that has taken and prepared countless hours and fees. In the plan, the land that we're talking about, I believe, is shown as staying agricultural. So why would you want to change that? And if you do, what is the point of the whole master plan anyway?

My last point I would like to make is that the owners of this property can't possibly be claiming any kind of a hardship. That's just not true. Like I said before, they paid only \$13,300 per acre and if they sold the development rights to the county, I do believe they'd be making a profit per acre. Therefore, there is no hardship.

Now, please, make the right decision and vote no zone change. It's what the community wants and it's your job to support the community. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Rex Farr."

Rex Farr: "Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Rex Farr, Calverton and president elect of the Calverton Civic Association.

I'm here for two reasons. Number one, to announce that the Calverton Civic Association held elections last week. We have a new Board that I'd like to briefly read. I, as President; John White (phonetic) as Vice-President; Pat Van deWetering as Secretary; and Kathy Grissi (phonetic), as Treasurer. Also on our Board is Paul Anderson, Art Binder, Connie Farr, Chris Kent, Bob Moss, (inaudible) Rehm, and Mike Spindler. We look forward to doing business with you.

Second of all, I'd like to give you each a letter that will remind you and, if I may, briefly read it, remind you that: Please be advised that there are 158 signatures at file on town hall from the residents of Riverhead in opposition to the zone change from Agricultural to Industrial use at 865 Youngs Avenue in Calverton.

Also, there is a letter of protest filed by Mr. Warner requiring that a super vote is needed on rezoning and a special permit. It would seem inappropriate for the Town Board to allow this zone change having clearly planned to turn the adjacent closed landfill into a recreational area for the use of local citizens, their families and children. A concrete crushing and wood chipping operation wouldn't and could not make a compatible choice.

Since this is the will of many of the residents and as per the master plan which designates this property as agriculture, we would expect a denial of the request for an unwanted and unneeded zone change. There is no extenuating reason, need or purpose for the Board to grant this variance.

Thank you. Have a good holiday."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. That's it for the cards. Is there anybody else who would like to address the Board at this time? Gentleman in the back and then I'll get you Bill. Right here, I mean on the right. Yes."

Arthur Hendrickson: "Arthur Hendrickson (phonetic) from Baiting Hollow. At the meetings it seems to me that a lot of these things that are coming up are all joined together as far as the

rezoning, the reclaiming of the landfill, the garbage burning plant at Calverton, and is it a possibility when you reclaim the landfill that you could put a liner in and dump the ash from the garbage burning plant in that landfill again?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The garbage burning plant is not even come back to us, so first and foremost that's, I think, about as far away and remote as anything could be.

The question of putting a liner. I'm not sure why we're putting a liner unless maybe we're going to do something else as far as a lake or- I'm missing something. Oh, to use it as an ashfill."

Arthur Hendrickson: "Yeah."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't think the Board would be inclined to do that. I missed your point. I'm sorry."

Arthur Hendrickson: "Okay. If you reclaim it, you're going to end up with a hole. What are you going- what will you end up with?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The- it's obviously at a higher elevation than what the land had been at when mother nature had created that part of the town. Because when the garbage had been put in, then was topped with sand. And more garbage was brought in and more sand was put on it, so there was not a mountain, but certainly a hill. When the regrading process is done, that grade is going to come down. The elevation is going to come down, so it's not going to be a cavern as- "

Councilman Densieski: "They didn't start at groundwater in other words. They started higher than groundwater. That's why it's not going to be a lake."

Arthur Hendrickson: "Okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right?"

Arthur Hendrickson: "That's it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Now, Mr. Kasperovich wished to speak. Mr. Kasperovich."

William Kasperovich: "William Kasperovich from Wading River. I

understand that Riverhead township has several outstanding bond issues. We are paying off bonds that we initiated some years back and we're paying them off. It's been a long time since I've seen anything that resembles a summary of outstanding bonds and the payments left on what bonds have been retrieved or cashed in, so that we know the debt that we have in an overall picture. If we lose sight of that, we'll only come to a rude awakening. So we should follow that and as I say, I haven't seen a summation for so long I don't even remember what it's like. But, before the year is out, an attempt in that direction should be made. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. I think this is a perfect time to (inaudible) because I know earlier there was discussion about the three year capital project plan and that was very important in connection with our appearance earlier this month before Standard and Poor's and Moody's (phonetic). We appeared before both rating agencies in order to determine how they saw the town as far as its fiscal stability and its ability to go down the road. Moody's retained our A+ rating. They issued a very strong report. Although there has been an increase in bonding due to the landfill reclamation project and as a result of open space and development rights purchases, something that earlier in the year the Board had authorized a \$30 million dollar bond issuance. Standard and Poor's gave us an equivalent rating which was in their- they label it as an A+, not an A-1. So both rating agencies saw us as fiscally sound, that there was adequate debt service funds. That the appropriated fund balance was stable and that things were going along well.

Now they did also point out that the fact that we had a master plan or a capital budget plan was something that was in their minds a very good thing, something that many Town Board don't consider. Many Town Boards don't go out a few years to see where they're going direction-wise. They felt that that was a sign that the town was thinking about things not today, not tomorrow, but a few years down the road. So it's very fortunate that you brought that up.

I will also add that at the end of the year, we are required by law to file a summary with the State. That report is due, and that will have, in fact, a compilation of bond indebtedness in it. That's a financial report that's a bound document that we do file every year in addition to other audits. So, at that time, there will be a document that's on file with the Town Clerk. It's called, I think, the Annual Financial Report, and it does include that information in it."

William Kasperovich: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You're welcome. Rex Farr."

Rex Farr: "I was just told by my Vice-President that I might have said 158 signatures. There are 1,000- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You did say 158."

Rex Farr: "Okay. I'm correcting, 1,058."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I thought you were wrong but I wasn't going to correct you."

Anybody else who wishes to speak? That being the case, motion to adjourn. Happy Holidays everybody."

Councilwoman Sanders: "Happy Holidays."

Councilman Densieski: "Have a good one."

Meeting adjourned: 4:11 p.m.